

# The Impact of Digital Platforms on Taxation in Uganda: Challenges and Opportunities for the Uganda Revenue Authority

**Kenneth Besigomwe**

School of Management Sciences, Uganda Management Institute, Uganda

[besigomwek@gmail.com](mailto:besigomwek@gmail.com)

Corresponding Author: Kenneth Besigomwe, [besigomwek@gmail.com](mailto:besigomwek@gmail.com)

**DOI: 10.47760/cognizance.2025.v05i01.008**

**Abstract:** This paper examines the impact of digital platforms on taxation in Uganda, focusing on the challenges and opportunities faced by the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) in adapting to the digital economy. The rapid growth of digital platforms, including e-commerce, mobile money, and online freelancing, has created significant gaps in Uganda's traditional tax system, making it difficult for the URA to effectively track and tax digital transactions, particularly those that are cross-border or informal. The discussion highlights key challenges such as limited technological capacity, data privacy concerns, and regulatory gaps in taxing digital services. Alongside these challenges, the paper identifies opportunities for Uganda to modernize its tax administration system by leveraging digital tools, data analytics, and global best practices to improve compliance and capture revenue from the growing digital sector. The paper's findings aim to provide policymakers and stakeholders with practical insights into how Uganda can navigate the complexities of digital taxation and capitalize on the growth of its digital economy.

**Keywords:** Digital Taxation, Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), E-commerce Tax Compliance, Cross-Border Transactions, Digital Economy Challenges

## I. INTRODUCTION

The global economy has experienced profound transformations due to technological innovations, particularly with the rise of digital platforms in sectors such as e-commerce, mobile money, and digital entertainment [1]. Countries like the United Kingdom, France, Singapore, and Australia have responded to these changes by implementing tax systems that effectively capture revenue from digital transactions, even in the absence of physical presence by foreign digital companies [2]. These nations have incorporated mechanisms like Value-Added Tax (VAT) and advanced data analytics to track and tax digital services. These global examples provide important lessons for Uganda, which faces similar challenges in adapting its tax policies to the expanding digital economy.

In Uganda, the rapid growth of mobile money, e-commerce, and online freelancing has introduced complexities that the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) has struggled to manage [3]. The existing tax framework, which was designed for a traditional, physical economy, is increasingly inadequate for tracking decentralized, informal, and cross-border digital transactions. This results in a significant portion of the economy being underreported,

leading to tax evasion and a shrinking tax base. These challenges necessitate a re-evaluation of Uganda's tax policies, including the adoption of modern technological tools to effectively monitor and tax digital transactions. This discussion is structured around a dual perspective of challenges and opportunities, offering a comprehensive analysis of Uganda's digital tax landscape. Each theme focuses on a specific challenge faced by the URA, such as jurisdictional gaps over foreign digital platforms, difficulties in monitoring mobile money transactions, and complexities in taxing intangible digital goods. Following each challenge, the discussion explores potential opportunities, including technological innovations, policy reforms, and the integration of informal digital businesses into the formal tax system. This approach ensures a balanced and practical perspective for policymakers, helping them navigate the complexities of digital taxation while capitalizing on the potential for tax revenue growth in Uganda's digital economy. The structure provides a clear roadmap for addressing current obstacles and developing strategies to enhance tax compliance, ultimately expanding Uganda's tax base and modernizing its tax administration system.

### ***Theme 1: Understanding and Adapting Tax Policies to the Digital Economy***

Uganda faces significant challenges in adapting its tax policies to the growing digital economy, including issues like the digital divide, informality in digital businesses, and the lack of clear regulations for emerging technologies [4]. Limited internet access, especially in rural areas, and challenges in taxing cross-border transactions complicate efforts to expand the tax base. However, by leveraging technology, improving digital literacy, and engaging in international cooperation, Uganda can build a tax system that addresses these challenges and captures revenue from the digital economy, ensuring fairness and inclusion.

#### **1.1. Challenges**

##### **1.1.1. Digital Divide and Access Issues**

The digital divide in Uganda remains one of the most significant challenges in taxing the digital economy [5]. While internet penetration has increased, large portions of the rural population still face infrastructural challenges, including unreliable electricity and poor-quality internet connections. These issues limit access to digital services, preventing many from fully engaging in the digital economy [6]. Furthermore, the cost of internet access and the technology required to participate in the digital space remains a significant barrier, particularly for those in low-income households. Additionally, [6] opines that a lack of digital literacy in rural and underserved areas further prevents many from participating in online business or employment platforms. This exclusion hinders economic opportunities and reduces the tax base, leaving the government unable to collect taxes from these segments. The regional disparities in digital access exacerbate inequality between urban and rural areas, making it even more difficult to expand the tax base and fully harness the potential of the digital economy.

##### **1.1.2. Informality in Digital Transactions**

The informal nature of many digital businesses poses significant challenges for Uganda's tax system [7]. Many small online businesses and digital service providers operate without formal registration, evading the tax system entirely. This is particularly prevalent in the fast-growing gig economy, where freelancers and independent contractors often fail to report their income or pay taxes. Furthermore, traditional tax collection methods, such as physical audits or storefront inspections, are ineffective in the digital realm, where transactions can be anonymous, remote, or cross borders [8]. Non-registration of mobile money agents also exacerbates this issue, as many agents operate informally, making it difficult for tax authorities to track and tax mobile transactions effectively [9]. Additionally, a lack of awareness about tax compliance among small digital businesses means many do not understand the importance of registration and tax payments, leading to a significant loss of revenue for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA).

##### **1.1.3. Regulatory Gaps in Taxation**

One of the key challenges facing Uganda's digital tax system is the absence of clear guidelines for emerging technologies [5]. Innovations such as blockchain, cryptocurrency, and digital currencies are not adequately addressed in Uganda's current tax framework, creating uncertainty and difficulties for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) in monitoring and taxing these transactions [6]. The lack of specialized knowledge and expertise in digital taxation further complicates the issue, especially in sectors like e-commerce or online freelancing. Moreover, Uganda's tax system struggles with implementing VAT on cross-border digital services,

particularly for foreign platforms that serve Ugandan consumers without having a physical presence in the country [10]. This leaves many international digital platforms untaxed, depriving Uganda of significant revenue. The absence of clear guidelines for taxing digital goods, such as software subscriptions or streaming services, adds further complexity. The varying pricing models and international offerings make determining the taxable value of these goods increasingly difficult [11].

#### **1.1.4. Tax Evasion and Compliance Issues**

Tax evasion and low compliance are pressing concerns for Uganda's tax authorities in the context of digital businesses [6,9]. Many individuals engaged in the digital economy, particularly those running online businesses or digital services, are unaware of their tax obligations [12]. Without proper public education and robust enforcement mechanisms, compliance remains low, undermining efforts to capture digital revenue. The complexity of the digital economy further complicates auditing and enforcement, as transactions can happen in real time, cross borders, or involve small, frequent payments that are hard to track [13]. Informal markets operating on social media or online platforms offer cheaper alternatives to formal businesses, as these actors evade taxes [14]. This not only undermines the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA)'s ability to collect taxes but also creates an unfair competitive environment for businesses that comply with tax laws [15]. Additionally, the lack of clear taxpayer identification for digital businesses, particularly those operating informally or across borders, further complicates enforcement efforts, resulting in substantial revenue loss.

#### **1.1.5. Valuing Digital Goods and Services for Tax Purposes**

Valuing digital goods and services for tax purposes presents numerous challenges for Uganda's tax system [16]. Many digital products, such as subscriptions to services like Netflix, Amazon, and Spotify, employ complex pricing models that vary by region and user behavior, making it difficult to determine a consistent and fair tax rate. Cross-border transactions introduce further complications, as factors such as currency fluctuations, international tariffs, and differing tax rates across jurisdictions all affect the taxable value of these goods and services [17,18]. The intangible nature of digital products, such as e-books, software, and streaming services, adds another layer of complexity, as these products can be delivered instantly and across borders, making it difficult to establish clear valuation standards. According to [19], the presence of multiple versions of digital services, such as different software packages or app versions for different user segments, further complicates the assessment of the value for tax purposes. The challenge is compounded by digital services like freelancing or content creation, which may not involve clear transactions that can be easily valued in monetary terms, making effective taxation difficult.

#### **1.1.6. Adapting Tax Policies to the Digital Economy**

Uganda's existing tax system is largely outdated and was designed with traditional industries in mind, leaving it ill-equipped to address the complexities of the digital economy [20]. The tax codes fail to capture the nuances of digital platforms such as mobile money services, cross-border e-commerce, and online transactions, resulting in substantial loopholes and challenges in enforcement. Moreover, taxing cross-border transactions involving digital services presents additional hurdles, as many international platforms, such as Netflix, Facebook, and Amazon, serve Ugandan consumers without having a physical presence in the country [21]. This lack of physical presence makes it difficult for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to enforce tax collection from these platforms. Additionally, the rapid and frequent nature of digital transactions, particularly those that cross borders, makes tracking and taxing them accurately a significant challenge [22]. The existing system struggles to keep up with the speed and volume of these transactions, further complicating Uganda's ability to capture revenue from the digital economy.

#### **1.1.7. Expanding the Tax Base in the Digital Economy**

A significant challenge Uganda faces in expanding its tax base within the digital economy is the large number of informal sector businesses that remain outside the tax system [15, 21]. Many digital businesses, particularly those operating informally or on social media platforms, do not register with the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) or comply with tax regulations [24]. This lack of registration and tax compliance leaves a substantial portion of the digital economy untaxed. Additionally, low levels of internet access and digital literacy, particularly in rural areas, mean that many individuals and businesses are not fully engaged with the digital economy in ways that would facilitate tax collection. This digital divide results in a large untapped population

that remains outside the formal economy. Peer-to-peer transactions, often facilitated through mobile money platforms, further complicate tax collection efforts as these transactions are frequently unreported and remain outside the tax system.

### 1.1.8. Enhancing Tax Collection with Technology

Enhancing tax collection through technology in Uganda faces several key challenges [25]. The first issue is the lack of digital infrastructure capable of supporting efficient tax reporting and real-time monitoring of transactions [26]. While Uganda has made progress in digitizing its economy, more advanced systems are needed to track and audit digital transactions effectively. Auditing digital transactions is inherently more complex than traditional methods, as they occur in real-time and often involve small, frequent payments. The Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) struggles to keep up with the speed and volume of these transactions, making it difficult to accurately track taxable events [27]. Additionally, integrating Uganda's existing tax system with local payment providers and mobile money services presents technical challenges that require substantial investment in infrastructure and resources to ensure the tax system captures all relevant transactions.

## 1.2. Opportunities

### 1.2.1. Expansion of the Tax Base Through Digital Integration

Uganda can significantly expand its tax base by formalizing informal digital businesses and encouraging their participation in the tax system [15]. To achieve this, clear regulations must be developed for the registration of digital businesses, particularly those operating in rural areas [28]. The government could launch digital literacy campaigns that specifically target the informal sector, especially in underserved regions, educating business owners on the benefits of tax compliance and how to register their businesses [29]. Additionally, mobile money platforms like MTN Mobile Money and Airtel Money, which are widely used in Uganda, could play a pivotal role in tracking peer-to-peer transactions that occur outside the formal economy [30]. By integrating mobile payment systems into Uganda's tax framework, the government can ensure that previously untaxed informal businesses, such as those selling goods on social media or operating on e-commerce platforms, are brought into the tax system. Offering incentives for small businesses to formalize, such as tax exemptions for the first few years of operation, could also motivate more entrepreneurs to register and contribute to the tax base [31]. Finally, expanding internet access and improving digital infrastructure in rural areas would allow more people to participate in the digital economy, ensuring that more Ugandans are taxed and financially included.

### 1.2.2. Revenue Growth from Digital Services and International Platforms

The digital economy presents an enormous potential for revenue growth, particularly through taxing foreign digital platforms like Netflix, Amazon, Google, and Facebook, which currently do not contribute to Uganda's tax base despite serving Ugandan consumers. One crucial step would be to implement a Digital Services Tax (DST), which would impose a tax on the digital services these foreign platforms provide to Ugandans [32]. This DST could be applied to services like online streaming, e-commerce, digital advertising, and cloud computing. Uganda could work with international tax bodies like the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) to align its DST policy with global tax standards [33]. Furthermore, VAT could be expanded to include digital transactions, ensuring that sectors like online retail, subscription services, and digital advertising are taxed [34]. The Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) can leverage mobile money platforms to monitor transactions that occur within the digital economy, such as payments for digital goods and services, ensuring that even microtransactions are accounted for. Additionally, Uganda can introduce measures to ensure that digital advertising revenue generated by international platforms from Ugandan businesses is taxed. As the local e-commerce sector grows, Uganda can also explore ways to incentivize local digital services and platforms by offering tax breaks or funding for digital startups [35].

### 1.2.3. Optimizing Tax Policies for the Digital Economy

To optimize tax policies for the digital economy, Uganda should introduce a clear framework for taxing digital services. This includes implementing a Digital Services Tax (DST) targeting foreign platforms that provide services to Ugandan consumers [16]. Uganda could also explore ways to expand VAT to include digital transactions across sectors like online retail, digital advertising, and subscription-based services. Additionally, to tackle the challenge of ensuring fair tax assessments, Uganda can adopt standardized guidelines for pricing digital goods and services [72]. These guidelines would take into account regional price variations and

consumer behavior, ensuring that taxes are applied consistently and equitably. Real-time transaction monitoring tools would allow Uganda to adjust tax policies as needed based on market conditions and currency fluctuations [36]. Uganda could also create a standardized process for valuing intangible digital goods like software, which would reduce inconsistencies and discrepancies in tax assessments. This system would ensure that tax assessments are fair and predictable, minimizing opportunities for tax avoidance and creating a more transparent system.

#### **1.2.4. Enhancing Tax Collection Through Technology**

One of the key opportunities for improving tax collection is the adoption of technology-driven solutions [22]. Uganda can implement an integrated digital tax reporting platform that allows businesses to file taxes electronically. This platform could be designed to reduce administrative errors, improve compliance, and provide real-time tracking of transactions [36]. By using data analytics tools and Application Programming Interfaces (APIs), the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) could monitor digital transactions as they occur, ensuring that taxable events are accurately captured and recorded [37]. Blockchain technology could be explored as a way to create tamper-proof records of all digital transactions, enhancing transparency and minimizing fraud in the tax system [38]. Furthermore, the use of automated auditing tools would streamline tax audits, reduce the time spent on manual audits, and improve the accuracy of assessments. Integrating URA's systems with local payment processors and mobile money services would allow for real-time tax deductions from digital payments, ensuring that no taxable transactions are overlooked [39]. Additionally, Uganda could consider developing apps or software tools that guide businesses through the tax filing process, helping them comply with regulations and track their tax payments.

#### **1.2.5. Reforming Taxation for Digital Goods and Services**

In response to the challenges of accurately valuing digital goods and services, Uganda could establish clear guidelines for pricing digital goods, particularly intangible products like software, apps, and subscriptions [32]. These guidelines would help the government apply taxes consistently, taking into account regional pricing differences and consumer purchasing patterns. Uganda could also invest in real-time transaction monitoring systems that automatically track digital purchases as they occur, factoring in exchange rates and international tariffs [40]. Additionally, the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) could develop tools to monitor multiple versions of digital services, such as apps or software with different pricing tiers, ensuring that all versions are taxed according to their market value. A consistent and standardized approach to digital service valuation would simplify the tax process, make tax assessments more predictable, and reduce discrepancies in the tax system.

#### **1.2.6. Strengthening Cross-Border Taxation with International Cooperation**

Cross-border taxation remains one of the most complex aspects of taxing the digital economy [41]. Uganda can engage in international cooperation by aligning its tax policies with global standards, particularly those set by the OECD [42]. This alignment would ensure that Uganda remains compliant with international tax frameworks, such as the OECD's guidelines on taxing digital services, and could help Uganda avoid double taxation issues for its citizens and businesses. Bilateral tax agreements could be negotiated with key trade partners to share revenue from cross-border digital services, and such agreements could also help avoid the potential for Ugandan consumers or businesses being taxed multiple times for the same transaction [43]. Uganda could actively engage with other African nations to create regional frameworks that standardize digital tax policies, ensuring that all African countries can benefit from the digital economy. For example, Uganda could join with other East African Community (EAC) countries to create a common approach to taxing foreign digital platforms [43]. Additionally, Uganda should track digital goods, such as subscriptions and e-books, delivered from foreign countries, ensuring that Ugandans consuming these goods are appropriately taxed. International cooperation on data-sharing platforms, like the OECD's Common Reporting Standard (CRS), could also help Uganda identify cross-border transactions and enhance its tax collection from global digital services [44].

#### **1.2.7. Boosting Financial Inclusion Through Digital Taxation**

Digital taxation offers a unique opportunity to enhance financial inclusion, especially by educating the public about tax obligations and promoting digital tax payments [35]. Public awareness campaigns could focus on teaching citizens about the benefits of tax compliance and how to use digital platforms to file and pay taxes. To support this effort, Uganda could launch digital literacy programs in rural areas, where financial education is

often limited [45]. The government can partner with mobile money providers like MTN and Airtel to offer mobile tax payment solutions, allowing Ugandans to pay their taxes easily from their mobile phones [46]. Such systems could offer real-time tax payment options and create a transparent, accessible process for tax collection. Additionally, mobile wallets could be integrated with Uganda’s tax framework to provide authorities with accurate and up-to-date data on digital transactions [47]. This would improve the efficiency of tax collection, especially in remote areas where traditional banking infrastructure is lacking. By offering tax incentives for early compliance or special tax breaks for small businesses, the government could encourage more people to formalize their tax status, contributing to a culture of tax compliance. Furthermore, Uganda can collaborate with fintech companies to create innovative solutions that help informal businesses easily register, comply with tax regulations, and access financial services [48].

**1.2.8. Innovating Cross-Border Taxation for the Digital Economy**

Uganda should explore new ways to capture revenue from cross-border digital transactions, particularly by introducing a Digital Services Tax (DST) aimed at foreign digital platforms operating in Uganda [32]. This DST would ensure that platforms like Amazon, Google, and Netflix pay taxes on their services provided to Ugandan consumers. Uganda could further enhance its cross-border tax strategy by entering into bilateral agreements with other countries to share tax revenue from digital transactions and avoid double taxation. These agreements could enable Uganda to establish clearer frameworks for taxing international digital services and ensure that foreign companies contributing to Uganda’s economy are fairly taxed. To support this, Uganda should actively participate in global tax discussions, collaborating with international bodies such as the OECD to create common standards for taxing digital platforms [42]. Uganda could also collaborate with other African nations to ensure that the African continent has a unified voice in global tax policy, ensuring that Africa’s needs and concerns are addressed in the development of international digital tax frameworks.

**Table 1:** Summary of theme 1

<b>Challenges</b>	<b>Opportunities</b>
1.1.1. Digital Divide and Access Issues	1.2.1. Expansion of the Tax Base Through Digital Integration
1.1.2. Informality in Digital Transactions	1.2.2. Revenue Growth from Digital Services and International Platforms
1.1.3. Regulatory Gaps in Taxation	1.2.3. Optimizing Tax Policies for the Digital Economy
1.1.4. Tax Evasion and Compliance Issues	1.2.4. Enhancing Tax Collection Through Technology
1.1.5. Valuing Digital Goods and Services for Tax Purposes	1.2.5. Reforming Taxation for Digital Goods and Services
1.1.6. Adapting Tax Policies to the Digital Economy	1.2.6. Strengthening Cross-Border Taxation with International Cooperation
1.1.7. Expanding the Tax Base in the Digital Economy	1.2.7. Boosting Financial Inclusion Through Digital Taxation
1.1.8. Enhancing Tax Collection with Technology	1.2.8. Innovating Cross-Border Taxation for the Digital Economy

**Theme 2: Taxing the Informal and Mobile Digital Economy**

Uganda faces significant challenges in taxing its informal and mobile digital economy, primarily due to widespread informality, low digital literacy, and the difficulty in tracking mobile money transactions [20, 25]. Many businesses operate without proper registration, making it hard for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to enforce tax compliance. Mobile money, which is popular in Uganda, often bypasses the formal financial system, further complicating tax collection. Cross-border transactions also pose a challenge due to limited international tax enforcement. However, opportunities exist to improve tax collection by formalizing informal

businesses, integrating mobile money for tax reporting, and enhancing public education and digital literacy on tax obligations.

## 2.1. Challenges

### 2.1.1. Prevalence of Informality and Tracking Digital Transactions

One of the biggest challenges in Uganda's digital economy is the prevalence of informality. Many small businesses, including digital entrepreneurs, operate without formal registration or tax compliance, making it difficult for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to track their activities [5, 9]. These businesses often lack proper infrastructure for documenting transactions, meaning tax authorities cannot easily monitor revenue. The rapid growth of peer-to-peer transactions, especially via mobile money platforms like MTN and Airtel Money, further exacerbates the situation. Many of these transactions occur informally, especially in rural areas, making it nearly impossible for the URA to accurately capture and tax them [5, 10]. Moreover, a significant portion of these informal businesses engages in cross-border transactions, which are harder to track due to limited international tax cooperation and the absence of global standards for taxing digital services.

### 2.1.2. Limited Digital Literacy and Awareness of Tax Obligations

A lack of digital literacy and awareness about tax obligations is another major barrier to taxing the informal digital economy. Many entrepreneurs, particularly in rural areas, are unaware of the processes required to formally register their businesses or comply with tax laws [4, 9]. This lack of knowledge leads to low tax compliance, with many digital businesses continuing to operate outside the formal system. Additionally, without targeted public education campaigns, these entrepreneurs miss out on the benefits of formalization, such as access to government support programs, loans, or tax benefits [5,6]. This perpetuates the cycle of informality and hinders Uganda's efforts to grow its tax base.

### 2.1.3. Challenges in Mobile Money Taxation and Infrastructure Gaps

Mobile money services have become crucial for financial inclusion in Uganda, but they also present significant challenges for tax collection [9]. Many transactions, especially in rural areas, occur informally, and the platforms (e.g., MTN Mobile Money, Airtel Money) do not automatically share detailed transaction data with the URA. This creates a large gap in tracking and reporting taxable activities, especially small, frequent, or microtransactions that are often below taxable thresholds. Additionally, mobile money users often engage in peer-to-peer transfers or cash-in/cash-out activities that bypass the formal financial and tax systems. Uganda's digital infrastructure is insufficient for tracking these informal mobile money transactions, which hinders the URA's ability to capture taxes effectively [5].

### 2.1.4. Cross-Border Transactions and Lack of International Tax Enforcement

Cross-border transactions further complicate tax enforcement efforts. Many Ugandans purchase goods and services from international platforms like Amazon or PayPal, but these platforms are not subject to Uganda's tax regulations [6, 3]. The lack of international tax agreements or treaties on digital services makes it difficult for the URA to track and collect taxes on these cross-border transactions. Without the ability to capture taxes on revenue generated from foreign-based platforms or from peer-to-peer international money transfers, Uganda continues to miss out on substantial potential tax revenue [21, 25]. Furthermore, the increasing reliance on mobile money for international transactions adds another layer of complexity to tracking and enforcing taxes on these cross-border flows.

### 2.1.5. Balancing Taxation with Financial Inclusion

While taxation is necessary to generate revenue from the growing digital economy, the challenge lies in balancing tax policies with the need to preserve financial inclusion [9, 38]. Many Ugandans, especially in rural or low-income areas, depend on mobile money services for everyday transactions. If taxes are set too high or implemented without considering their impact on users, they could discourage people from using these services or push them back into informal, unregulated cash-based systems. Policies need to carefully balance revenue generation with the goal of maintaining access to affordable digital financial services for all Ugandans, particularly those in underserved regions [29,46].

### **2.1.6. Lack of Infrastructure for Monitoring Informal Transactions**

Another significant obstacle is the lack of infrastructure for monitoring digital transactions, particularly in the informal sector [5, 36]. The current systems in place are fragmented and unable to integrate seamlessly with mobile money platforms or other digital payment systems. Mobile money services do not automatically transmit transaction data to the URA, which prevents the authority from having full visibility over informal digital transactions [5, 9]. Additionally, businesses that use mobile money or operate online often do not have the technology required to track their own transactions in a way that could be reported to the URA. This absence of standardized reporting systems exacerbates tax evasion and makes it harder to formalize informal businesses.

### **2.1.7. Limited Public Awareness and Education on Digital Taxation**

One of the most significant barriers to effective taxation of the digital economy is the low level of public awareness about digital tax obligations [9, 23]. Many individuals using mobile money platforms for peer-to-peer transactions or small business activities are unaware of the taxes they may be required to pay. Without clear communication and education on how digital transactions relate to tax obligations, non-compliance will remain high. Public education campaigns targeted at informing citizens about digital taxes and the benefits of formalizing their businesses are crucial to reducing the knowledge gap and encouraging tax compliance [5].

## **2.2. Opportunities**

### **2.2.1. Formalizing the Informal Sector:**

One key opportunity to improve tax collection in Uganda's informal digital economy is by encouraging informal businesses to formalize their operations [31]. Many small digital businesses, especially those using mobile money or e-commerce platforms, operate without tax compliance, making it difficult for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to track them. By facilitating the transition of these businesses into the formal economy through easy registration and integrated tax reporting on digital platforms, Uganda can capture previously untaxed revenue, expand the tax base, and provide businesses access to government programs and financing [9, 31]. Platforms such as mobile money services and e-commerce websites could assist by offering tools for registration and tax compliance, which would help streamline the process and promote greater participation in the formal economy.

### **2.2.2. Public Education and Digital Literacy Campaigns:**

Public education campaigns focused on digital literacy and tax awareness are crucial for improving compliance among informal digital entrepreneurs in Uganda [5, 24]. Many small businesses, particularly in rural areas, lack knowledge about the digital tools available for business management, tax registration, and filing. By enhancing digital literacy, these entrepreneurs will be better equipped to use digital platforms, formalize their businesses, and comply with tax regulations. Digital literacy campaigns could include practical guides on using online systems and tax tools, helping individuals understand the benefits of registration and formalization. This would empower individuals to participate in the formal economy, reduce the knowledge gap, and increase tax compliance across the country [9].

### **2.2.3. Leveraging Mobile Money for Tax Collection:**

Mobile money platforms, such as MTN Mobile Money and Airtel Money, play a critical role in Uganda's informal economy, but their informal nature creates challenges for tax collection [39]. A solution to this challenge is integrating tax collection directly into mobile money systems. By collaborating with mobile money providers to automatically report transactions or deduct taxes at the point of transfer, Uganda can track and tax informal transactions in real-time [6, 39]. This integration would allow the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to monitor and enforce tax compliance more efficiently, ensuring that even microtransactions are captured and taxed. With the widespread use of mobile money across the country, this approach would expand Uganda's tax base and improve compliance, particularly for small businesses and individuals in rural areas.

### **2.2.4. Bilateral or Multilateral Agreements for Cross-Border Taxation:**

To address the challenges posed by cross-border digital transactions, Uganda has the opportunity to engage in bilateral or multilateral agreements with neighboring countries and international organizations like the OECD [41, 44]. These agreements could create frameworks for taxing cross-border digital transactions, ensuring that Ugandans engaging in online purchases or peer-to-peer transfers from international platforms comply with local



tax laws. By establishing consistent and enforceable taxation rules for international digital commerce, Uganda can capture revenue from cross-border activities that are currently difficult to track. This collaboration would help fill gaps in Uganda’s tax system, ensure compliance with global standards, and increase tax revenue from international digital transactions [17, 18].

**2.2.5. Designing Inclusive Tax Policies:**

Uganda can design tax policies that support financial inclusion while ensuring that the growing digital economy contributes to government revenue [7, 48]. By introducing tiered tax rates, Uganda could lower or exempt taxes on small-value mobile money transactions that are frequently used by low-income individuals. This would protect financial inclusion by ensuring that essential services like mobile money transfers remain accessible and affordable. Additionally, tax incentives could be provided to businesses or individuals using mobile money for productive purposes, such as agricultural transactions or educational payments [5]. By designing inclusive tax policies that take into account the economic realities of mobile money users, Uganda can balance tax collection with the goal of preserving the accessibility of digital financial services [48].

**2.2.6. Investing in Digital Infrastructure:**

Investing in advanced digital infrastructure is essential for Uganda to effectively track informal digital transactions and enhance tax collection [5, 26]. With the increasing use of mobile money and digital platforms, Uganda can develop seamless integration between mobile money services and tax authorities. By adopting technologies like big data analytics, blockchain, and cloud-based systems, Uganda can track digital transactions in real-time, identify patterns, and flag taxable events automatically [36, 38]. This infrastructure would not only improve tax enforcement but also reduce fraud and enhance transparency in the tax system. Strengthening digital infrastructure would allow the Uganda Revenue Authority to efficiently monitor and collect taxes from the rapidly expanding digital economy.

**2.2.7. Public Education and Awareness Campaigns on Mobile Money Taxation:**

Public awareness campaigns focused specifically on mobile money taxation are essential to improving tax compliance among mobile money users in Uganda [9]. Many mobile money users, particularly in rural areas, are unaware of the tax implications of their transactions. Through targeted campaigns, Uganda can educate individuals on how their mobile money activities are linked to tax obligations, explaining how to register for taxes, report earnings, and comply with tax regulations [5, 46]. These campaigns could be delivered via mobile money apps, telecommunication networks, and community outreach programs, making the information more accessible. Raising awareness about mobile money tax obligations would help reduce non-compliance and increase Uganda’s tax revenue from the growing digital economy [24].

**Table 2:** Summary of theme 2

<b>Challenges</b>	<b>Opportunities</b>
2.1.1. Prevalence of Informality and Tracking Digital Transactions	2.2.1. Formalizing the Informal Sector
2.1.2. Limited Digital Literacy and Awareness of Tax Obligations	2.2.2. Public Education and Digital Literacy Campaigns
2.1.3. Challenges in Mobile Money Taxation and Infrastructure Gaps	2.2.3. Leveraging Mobile Money for Tax Collection
2.1.4. Cross-Border Transactions and Lack of International Tax Enforcement	2.2.4. Bilateral or Multilateral Agreements for Cross-Border Taxation
2.1.5. Balancing Taxation with Financial Inclusion	2.2.5. Designing Inclusive Tax Policies
2.1.6. Lack of Infrastructure for Monitoring Informal Transactions	2.2.6. Investing in Digital Infrastructure
2.1.7. Limited Public Awareness and Education on Digital Taxation	2.2.7. Public Education and Awareness Campaigns on Mobile Money Taxation

### ***Theme 3: Cross-Border Transactions and Global Digital Platforms***

Uganda faces significant challenges in taxing cross-border digital transactions due to the lack of jurisdiction over foreign platforms, such as e-commerce sites and mobile money services, which often operate without a physical presence in the country [17, 41]. This makes it difficult for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to apply local tax laws, particularly for digital goods and services. Cross-border mobile money transactions also go untracked, leading to tax leakage. However, Uganda has opportunities to address these issues by entering bilateral agreements, sharing transaction data internationally, and integrating foreign platforms into the local tax system. These measures could help Uganda capture more tax revenue from the growing global digital economy.

#### **3.1. Challenges**

##### **3.1.1. Lack of Jurisdiction Over Foreign Digital Platforms**

A key challenge for Uganda is its limited jurisdiction over foreign digital platforms [16]. Many digital services Ugandans access, such as e-commerce sites (Amazon, eBay), streaming platforms (Netflix, Spotify), and app-based services (Uber, Airbnb), are operated by international companies with no physical presence in Uganda. These platforms are not registered with the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), and thus, they often escape the application of local tax laws. While Uganda's tax laws are robust for domestic entities, they fail to extend adequately to foreign digital firms, especially those providing intangible goods and services online [41]. This gap allows international companies to avoid local taxes despite generating significant revenue from Ugandan consumers. The lack of physical presence means that Uganda cannot easily enforce VAT collection on digital goods, resulting in substantial tax leakage, which reduces the overall tax base and weakens public service provision.

##### **3.1.2. Inability to Monitor Cross-Border Mobile Money Transactions**

Mobile money has grown rapidly in Uganda, serving as a vital tool for financial inclusion. However, cross-border mobile money transactions present a challenge for tax authorities [46]. Many Ugandans send and receive money through international mobile money services, such as Western Union, WorldRemit, or cross-border mobile money transactions between countries using platforms like MTN Mobile Money or Airtel Money [49]. These transactions, often involving remittances or cross-border payments for goods and services, are typically not captured by Uganda's tax system. The cross-border nature of these financial exchanges makes it difficult to track taxable events. Furthermore, many mobile money providers do not have the necessary infrastructure to integrate with the URA's tax reporting systems, making it harder to monitor and enforce tax compliance for international mobile money flows. This lack of visibility over mobile money transactions means that Uganda is losing out on potential tax revenue from cross-border digital financial activity [39].

##### **3.1.3. Complexities in Taxing Digital Services and Goods**

Taxing digital services and goods, especially those delivered online, presents unique challenges due to their intangible nature. Similar to finding by [50], many Ugandan consumers access digital goods such as e-books, music, movies, or software, and digital services like cloud storage, e-learning platforms, and subscription-based services (e.g., Netflix, Spotify). These services are often supplied by foreign companies with no physical presence in Uganda, making it difficult for the URA to determine the appropriate tax treatment for such transactions. Uganda's VAT system is designed to tax goods and services consumed within its borders, but applying VAT to digital goods and services is complex, as many platforms (such as digital streaming services) are not directly taxed in Uganda [47]. The digital nature of these goods means that it is challenging to define what constitutes "consumption" within Uganda's borders, particularly when services are delivered electronically with no physical transfer of goods. This ambiguity results in tax avoidance by foreign digital companies and non-compliance by Ugandan consumers who are unaware of their tax obligations.

##### **3.1.4. Cross-Border VAT Issues on Digital Transactions**

VAT is a key source of revenue for Uganda; however, taxing cross-border digital transactions presents significant challenges [24]. When Ugandans purchase goods or services from foreign e-commerce platforms, the URA struggles to enforce VAT on those transactions. This issue is compounded by the fact that the platforms in question do not always apply VAT or remit it to the Ugandan tax authorities. Without an international agreement in place for the collection and remittance of VAT on digital goods and services, Uganda cannot ensure that

foreign suppliers are charging VAT on sales to Ugandan consumers or businesses. Additionally, VAT is often charged at different rates in different jurisdictions, and determining which tax rate applies to a cross-border digital transaction is complex [51]. This lack of clarity and cooperation between countries results in tax revenue leakage and forces Uganda to confront gaps in its tax laws related to the digital economy.

### **3.1.5. Absence of International Standards for Digital Taxation**

One of the fundamental challenges Uganda faces is the absence of internationally agreed-upon standards for digital taxation [16, 32]. The global digital economy operates largely without a consistent framework for how cross-border digital services, e-commerce, and online business activities should be taxed. While international organizations such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) have proposed guidelines on taxing digital platforms, there is still no comprehensive global consensus on how these platforms should be taxed [41]. Uganda's lack of participation in a unified global digital taxation framework means that it lacks the tools to ensure that digital transactions originating from foreign platforms are taxed fairly and consistently. Furthermore, the absence of these international agreements creates opportunities for tax avoidance, as foreign digital platforms can operate in Uganda without the need to comply with local tax regulations [41].

## **3.2. Opportunities**

### **3.2.1. Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements for Digital Taxation**

Uganda has a significant opportunity to engage in bilateral and multilateral agreements with other nations to address the taxation of cross-border digital transactions [17]. By working with neighboring East African Community (EAC) countries and international organizations such as the OECD, Uganda can create uniform digital tax standards [52]. These agreements could compel foreign digital platforms to comply with local tax laws, such as VAT collection on digital goods and services. Such agreements would also facilitate sharing of transaction data between countries, allowing tax authorities to better track and enforce tax obligations across borders. For example, Uganda could enter into agreements with key trading partners to impose taxes on international digital services provided to Ugandan consumers, ensuring that companies like Amazon, eBay, and Netflix contribute to Uganda's tax base. Bilateral agreements could also include tax exemptions or special rates for cross-border businesses to encourage regional economic integration.

### **3.2.2. Leveraging International Data Sharing for Tax Enforcement**

Uganda could partner with other governments to share transaction data and create a more effective cross-border tax enforcement system [17]. Many global digital platforms collect vast amounts of transaction data, which could be used to identify taxable activities. By joining international data-sharing initiatives, Uganda can access transaction data from e-commerce platforms, digital services, and mobile money operators. This would allow the URA to identify cross-border transactions that are subject to tax but have not been reported [24]. For instance, Uganda could work with mobile money providers and cross-border payment systems to track international remittances and e-commerce purchases. Data analytics tools could be used to analyze these transactions, detect inconsistencies, and identify potential tax evasion activities. This would help Uganda build a more comprehensive tax monitoring system that captures previously hidden digital transactions.

### **3.2.3. Integration of Foreign Digital Platforms into Uganda's Tax System**

Uganda can create legislation and policies requiring foreign digital platforms to register with the URA and comply with local tax laws [24]. The government could mandate that international companies like Amazon, Netflix, or Google collect VAT on goods and services sold to Ugandan consumers. These platforms could be required to report and remit taxes directly to the URA, just as domestic businesses do. This integration would not only ensure that Uganda benefits from the tax revenues generated by foreign digital services, but it would also create a level playing field for local businesses. Additionally, Uganda could use its participation in regional trade agreements like the EAC Common Market to push for collective tax enforcement standards among member countries [52]. This would streamline tax collection for regional e-commerce and digital services, making it easier to track and enforce taxes across borders.

### **3.2.4. Strengthening Mobile Money Systems for Cross-Border Transactions**

Uganda has the opportunity to work with mobile money providers like MTN, Airtel, and other mobile financial services to develop mechanisms for reporting and taxing cross-border mobile money transactions [53]. Mobile

money is crucial for financial inclusion, but without proper monitoring, cross-border transactions are left untaxed. By introducing real-time tax collection systems integrated into mobile money platforms, Uganda could ensure that taxes are automatically deducted for international remittances or payments made for goods and services. This could be implemented through partnerships with mobile money service providers, where tax deductions are applied at the point of transaction for international transfers [54]. These measures would make it easier for the URA to track cross-border financial activity, capture taxable events, and increase overall compliance. Moreover, this integration could promote the use of mobile money for tax payments, further expanding Uganda’s digital tax system.

### 3.2.5. Implementation of Global Digital Tax Standards

Uganda could play a more active role in global digital tax reform efforts by advocating for the establishment of international digital tax standards. The OECD’s proposed Global Tax Reform framework, which aims to introduce rules for taxing multinational companies based on where their customers are located, presents an opportunity for Uganda to push for fairer tax practices [41]. By supporting this reform, Uganda can ensure that foreign digital companies contributing to the local economy are taxed appropriately, even if they do not have a physical presence in the country. Participating in the creation of global tax frameworks would allow Uganda to create a more comprehensive and fair taxation system for digital platforms. This would reduce the chances of revenue leakage due to inconsistent international tax policies, ensuring that Uganda receives its fair share of taxes from global digital transactions [44].

**Table 3:** Summary of theme 3

Challenges	Opportunities
3.1.1. Lack of Jurisdiction Over Foreign Digital Platforms	3.2.1. Bilateral and Multilateral Agreements for Digital Taxation
3.1.2. Inability to Monitor Cross-Border Mobile Money Transactions	3.2.2. Leveraging International Data Sharing for Tax Enforcement
3.1.3. Complexities in Taxing Digital Services and Goods	3.2.3. Integration of Foreign Digital Platforms into Uganda’s Tax System
3.1.4. Cross-Border VAT Issues on Digital Transactions	3.2.4. Strengthening Mobile Money Systems for Cross-Border Transactions
3.1.5. Absence of International Standards for Digital Taxation	3.2.5. Implementation of Global Digital Tax Standards

### *Theme 4: Technological Tools and Capacity Building for Tax Compliance*

Uganda faces challenges in adopting technological tools for tax compliance, including limited capacity within the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), cybersecurity concerns, infrastructure gaps, and resistance to digital transformation [5]. These issues hinder the effective tracking of digital transactions, particularly in rural areas and across borders. However, there are opportunities to improve tax compliance by investing in capacity building for tax officials, developing robust cybersecurity measures, enhancing rural connectivity, and promoting digital literacy. Additionally, adopting flexible tax regulations and leveraging emerging technologies can help address the complexities of the digital economy [12].

#### 4.1. Challenges

##### 4.1.1. Limited Technological Capacity and Skills Gap

Uganda’s tax authority, the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), is grappling with limited technological capacity to adopt advanced tools like data analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain to efficiently track digital transactions [36]. The increasing complexity of the digital economy, including mobile money, e-commerce, and cross-border transactions, demands the integration of modern technologies into tax administration systems. However, the URA struggles to update its outdated infrastructure and lacks specialized skills, such as expertise in data science and digital systems management, needed to effectively monitor and track digital businesses. Many tax officers also lack training in the nuances of digital taxation, further hampering the efficient

enforcement of compliance in the digital economy [5]. As a result, the tax authority faces significant barriers in harnessing the power of technology to improve tax collection efforts, particularly in informal sectors and cross-border transactions.

#### **4.1.2. Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Concerns**

The integration of digital tools and data analytics into Uganda's tax system creates serious cybersecurity and data privacy concerns [24, 55]. The digital collection of financial data through mobile money, e-commerce platforms, and digital payments makes Uganda's tax systems increasingly vulnerable to cyberattacks, including data breaches and the tampering of sensitive information. Additionally, there is no clear or enforceable data protection framework in Uganda, and existing privacy laws are insufficient to ensure the security of citizens' personal financial information [56]. International data-sharing practices, especially in cross-border transactions, exacerbate these concerns, as data might be shared or exploited without proper safeguards. Without a strong cybersecurity infrastructure, Uganda's tax system could be compromised, leading to financial losses, loss of taxpayer trust, and potential legal consequences [55].

#### **4.1.3. Digital Infrastructure Gaps and Rural Connectivity Issues**

A major barrier to the adoption of digital tax tools in Uganda is the lack of comprehensive digital infrastructure, particularly in rural areas [24]. Although urban centers are increasingly adopting digital financial services, many rural areas still suffer from unreliable internet connectivity, low access to smartphones and computers, and limited digital literacy. As a result, a significant portion of Uganda's population, especially small businesses in rural areas, is unable to engage with digital tax reporting systems. The digital divide further exacerbates the challenges of integrating informal and mobile money-based businesses into the formal tax system [4]. The URA's current tax infrastructure is unable to handle the growing complexities of digital transactions, and without a robust digital infrastructure that connects rural businesses and platforms to the tax authority's reporting systems, large portions of Uganda's economy remain unmonitored for tax purposes [5].

#### **4.1.4. Resistance to Digital Transformation**

Despite the potential benefits, there is considerable resistance to adopting digital tax tools from both taxpayers and tax authorities [24]. Many small business owners, particularly in Uganda's informal economy, view digital tax tools as burdensome, fearing they may increase their tax liabilities or lead to confusion. Digital illiteracy is a significant barrier, especially in rural areas, where many taxpayers are unfamiliar with new technologies or do not trust online systems [57]. This resistance is further compounded by the limited awareness of the advantages that digital tax systems offer, such as improved efficiency, transparency, and reduced administrative costs. On the other hand, tax officials at the URA may also be hesitant to embrace automation due to a lack of expertise and training in managing complex digital tax systems [55]. Overcoming this resistance requires targeted educational campaigns, training programs, and incentives to encourage both taxpayers and tax authorities to embrace digital tools and improve compliance.

#### **4.1.5. Slow Adaptation to Technological Advancements**

The fast-paced nature of technological innovation poses a significant challenge for Uganda's tax administration in adapting to new business models and digital platforms [58]. The rise of global digital platforms like Amazon, Netflix, and eBay, which operate without a physical presence in Uganda, complicates efforts to track cross-border transactions and apply taxes. Moreover, emerging technologies such as blockchain, cryptocurrency, and artificial intelligence are rapidly changing the landscape of the digital economy, and Uganda's tax system is ill-prepared to address these new challenges [38]. Without the right infrastructure in place, the URA struggles to track transactions from international platforms or fully capture revenue from rapidly evolving digital sectors. As technology continues to evolve, Uganda faces the constant challenge of staying up-to-date with these developments to ensure that its tax system remains effective and efficient.

### **4.2. Opportunities**

#### **4.2.1. Capacity Building and Technological Upgrades for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA)**

To address the technological capacity and skills gap within the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA), there is an opportunity to invest in comprehensive training and development programs for tax officials [24]. These programs should focus on digital taxation, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain to enhance the

capacity of tax officers to manage the growing complexities of the digital economy. Partnerships with international tax organizations or private sector players in the tech industry could help bridge the skills gap by providing access to world-class training resources. Additionally, the URA should prioritize investing in advanced digital tools, such as automated tax reporting systems, e-invoicing, and real-time transaction monitoring [59]. The adoption of AI and machine learning for data analysis could enable more efficient detection of tax evasion and underreporting by identifying anomalies in digital transactions. Strengthening the technical capacity of URA through targeted training and investing in cutting-edge technologies would enhance tax collection efforts, particularly in informal and cross-border sectors.

#### 4.2.2. Robust Cybersecurity Framework and Data Protection Policies

To mitigate the cybersecurity risks and data privacy concerns that arise with the increasing digitalization of Uganda's tax system, there is a pressing need to implement a robust cybersecurity infrastructure [24]. This infrastructure should include secure data encryption, intrusion detection systems, and continuous monitoring of digital platforms. Furthermore, Uganda should develop clear and enforceable data protection laws that align with international standards, ensuring the security of taxpayers' personal financial information. A well-established cybersecurity framework would protect against potential data breaches, build public trust, and safeguard sensitive financial data. Collaboration with international organizations and cybersecurity firms could also enhance Uganda's ability to tackle cross-border cyber threats, particularly when dealing with digital transactions from international platforms [60]. With a strong cybersecurity framework, Uganda's tax authority can confidently integrate digital tools, ensuring that taxpayer information is secure while improving tax compliance.

#### 4.2.3. Development of a Comprehensive Digital Infrastructure and Rural Connectivity Solutions

To address the digital infrastructure challenges, especially in rural areas, Uganda could invest in building a comprehensive digital infrastructure that ensures internet connectivity, access to smartphones, and increased digital literacy across the country [24]. Partnerships with telecom providers, fintech companies, and international development organizations could help improve rural connectivity and facilitate the widespread adoption of digital tax reporting tools. Additionally, mobile applications and USSD-based tax reporting platforms could be developed for those in remote areas with limited internet access [55]. By improving rural connectivity and integrating these businesses into the formal tax system, Uganda can reduce the digital divide and ensure that small and informal businesses are included in the tax net. This digital infrastructure would also streamline data collection from mobile money platforms and e-commerce transactions, ultimately improving tax compliance across the nation [24].

#### 4.2.4. Targeted Educational Campaigns and Incentives for Digital Tax Adoption

Overcoming resistance to digital transformation requires a multifaceted approach that includes targeted educational campaigns, training programs, and incentives for taxpayers and tax officials alike [61]. Public outreach campaigns should focus on demonstrating the benefits of digital tax tools, such as transparency, efficiency, and reduced administrative burden [62]. These campaigns can be tailored to small business owners in Uganda's informal sector, particularly those in rural areas, to encourage them to formalize their digital transactions. Additionally, the Uganda Revenue Authority should offer online training and workshops to improve digital literacy among taxpayers and provide guidance on how to use digital tools for tax registration and reporting. Incentives such as tax rebates or simplified processes for early adopters could also encourage businesses to transition to the formal tax system. For URA staff, training programs on the use of automated tax tools, blockchain, and data analytics should be prioritized to ease the transition to digital systems, ensuring that tax officials are well-equipped to manage and monitor the evolving digital economy [63].

#### 4.2.5. Agile Tax Regulations and Real-Time Data Collection Systems for Emerging Digital Sectors

To keep pace with the rapidly changing technological landscape, Uganda should develop an agile and flexible tax regulatory framework that can quickly adapt to new digital platforms and emerging technologies like blockchain, cryptocurrency, and artificial intelligence [64]. This would allow the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to track cross-border transactions and digital businesses that do not have a physical presence in Uganda, such as global platforms like Amazon, Netflix, and eBay. Implementing real-time data collection systems through cloud-based solutions, integrated mobile money services, and e-commerce platforms would enable the URA to continuously monitor and track digital transactions [1, 3]. This could also include using big data analytics and machine learning to predict tax evasion trends, allowing URA to proactively address non-compliance. Collaborating with international tax organizations to adopt global best practices in digital taxation,

such as those advocated by the OECD, would further strengthen Uganda’s ability to address emerging challenges and ensure the tax system remains relevant in the face of technological advancements.

**Table 4:** Summary of theme 4

Challenges	Opportunities
4.1.1. Limited Technological Capacity and Skills Gap	4.2.1. Capacity Building and Technological Upgrades for URA
4.1.2. Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Concerns	4.2.2. Robust Cybersecurity Framework and Data Protection Policies
4.1.3. Digital Infrastructure Gaps and Rural Connectivity Issues	4.2.3. Development of a Comprehensive Digital Infrastructure and Rural Connectivity Solutions
4.1.4. Resistance to Digital Transformation	4.2.4. Targeted Educational Campaigns and Incentives for Digital Tax Adoption
4.1.5. Slow Adaptation to Technological Advancements	4.2.5. Agile Tax Regulations and Real-Time Data Collection Systems for Emerging Digital Sectors

***Theme 5: Equity, Fairness, and Lessons from International Tax Reforms***

As Uganda modernizes its tax system amidst a growing digital economy, ensuring equity and fairness in tax policies is crucial. This involves integrating small businesses, marginalized groups, and digital platform users into the formal tax system. While Uganda faces challenges in applying global tax models to its unique context, lessons from international tax reforms can offer valuable insights. By adapting best practices and collaborating regionally, Uganda can create a more inclusive and effective tax system that addresses the needs of its diverse population.

**5.1. Challenges**

**5.1.1. Disproportionate Burden on Small Businesses and the Informal Sector**

Uganda faces significant challenges in designing tax policies that cater to small businesses and micro-enterprises, particularly in rural areas [15]. Many small-scale entrepreneurs rely on digital platforms like mobile money and e-commerce for transactions but lack the necessary infrastructure and expertise to navigate digital tax systems [65]. The complexity of tax filing and the absence of a simplified system make it difficult for these businesses to comply, potentially discouraging their formalization. Additionally, the lack of awareness among small business owners about their tax obligations limits their participation in the tax system, further perpetuating the informality of the sector [15]. Without a tailored tax structure that accounts for their limited capacity, small businesses remain under-taxed or excluded from the formal tax system, exacerbating inequalities.

**5.1.2. Exclusion of Marginalized Groups**

A major issue within Uganda’s tax system is the exclusion of marginalized groups, particularly those in rural and underserved areas [16]. A significant portion of the population lacks access to mobile money services or reliable internet, both of which are crucial for engaging with the tax system. The inability to access or use digital platforms leaves these groups unable to participate in the formal tax system. Without inclusion strategies, marginalized populations such as small traders, farmers, and rural dwellers remain outside the tax base, contributing to inequities and limiting the country’s overall tax revenue [6, 7]. The lack of financial literacy and understanding about tax obligations among these groups further compounds the issue, leaving them unaware of their role in the economy and hindering national tax compliance efforts.

**5.1.3. Complexity and Diversity of Digital Transactions**

Uganda's tax system faces challenges in addressing the complexity and diversity of digital transactions [1, 6]. With the rapid growth of mobile money transfers, peer-to-peer payments, and cross-border e-commerce, many digital transactions take place outside of formal tax structures. This informal nature makes it difficult for Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to track taxable events and capture previously untapped digital revenue. The absence of digital tools and infrastructure to monitor and record these transactions results in tax evasion, reducing the fairness and efficiency of the tax system [9]. Moreover, the ever-evolving nature of digital

platforms adds complexity to the tax administration, making it harder to stay current with new types of transactions and business models.

#### **5.1.4. Adapting Global Tax Models to Local Context and Jurisdictional Issues**

Uganda's ability to tax cross-border digital platforms is hampered by jurisdictional challenges [3, 6]. The global digital economy operates across borders, often with foreign companies providing services to Uganda's citizens without a physical presence in the country. Uganda's existing tax framework does not adequately address these challenges, leading to a potential loss of revenue from foreign digital companies [21]. The lack of alignment with regional tax models and the absence of comprehensive international coordination mechanisms further complicate Uganda's ability to implement effective tax policies for cross-border digital transactions. As a result, the country's tax system struggles to remain competitive and ensures that foreign platforms contribute fairly to the national tax base.

#### **5.1.5. Slow Adaptation to Technological Advancements**

Uganda's tax administration is hindered by a slow adaptation to technological advancements [24]. Emerging technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), and big data are revolutionizing digital economies worldwide. However, Uganda's tax system remains ill-equipped to effectively incorporate these technologies. The lack of infrastructure for real-time transaction tracking and verification, coupled with outdated tax reporting systems, leads to inefficiencies in tax administration [24, 35]. This failure to modernize contributes to tax evasion, lack of transparency, and limited capacity to accurately monitor the expanding digital economy. The slow pace of technological adaptation prevents Uganda from fully capitalizing on the potential benefits that digital tools can offer in enhancing tax compliance and enforcement.

### **5.2. Opportunities**

#### **5.2.1. Implementing Tiered Tax Structures for Small Businesses and the Informal Sector**

Uganda has the opportunity to reduce the burden on small businesses and micro-enterprises by adopting tiered tax structures, similar to Kenya's approach, where smaller businesses are taxed at lower rates or exempted from certain taxes [12]. This would encourage small businesses, especially those in rural areas, to formalize and comply with tax regulations. Additionally, Uganda could simplify tax filing processes, inspired by India's online tax platforms, to make compliance easier and more accessible for small business owners [66]. Education campaigns, like those in South Africa, could raise awareness of tax obligations, helping small businesses understand their role in the economy and increase their contributions to the tax base [67]. Furthermore, offering incentives, low-interest loans, and grants could motivate small businesses to enter the formal economy.

#### **5.2.2. Integrating Marginalized Groups into the Financial and Tax System**

To include marginalized groups, Uganda can integrate mobile money services into the formal financial system, learning from India's successful financial inclusion initiatives that connected underserved populations to digital platforms. Kenya's experience with mobile money can also serve as a model for enhancing financial access, especially in rural areas, ensuring that people can participate in the formal tax system [68]. Financial literacy programs, similar to those rolled out in South Africa, can help educate marginalized communities on their tax obligations, improving their understanding and enabling greater participation in the formal economy [67]. These efforts would promote a more equitable tax system and reduce exclusion, particularly for small traders and farmers in remote areas.

#### **5.2.3. Leveraging Data Analytics for Digital Transaction Taxation**

Uganda has a unique opportunity to enhance its ability to tax digital transactions by utilizing data analytics, inspired by Singapore's sophisticated system for tracking digital activities [69]. Partnering with mobile money providers, e-commerce platforms, and digital service providers would allow Uganda to access real-time transaction data, enabling the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA) to capture previously untaxed revenue. Uganda could also implement blockchain technology in tax administration, following Estonia's example to improve transparency, reduce fraud, and increase the accuracy of tax enforcement. This approach would enable Uganda to address the complexity and diversity of digital transactions and ensure that informal digital revenue is accurately captured in the tax system.



#### 5.2.4. Adapting Tax Models to the Local Context and Regional Cooperation

Uganda has the opportunity to refine its approach to taxing cross-border digital platforms by adopting elements of Kenya’s Digital Services Tax (DST), which taxes foreign companies that provide services to its citizens without a physical presence [6, 12]. By tailoring tax policies to Uganda’s unique context, such as its reliance on mobile money, Uganda can ensure foreign digital platforms contribute fairly to the tax base. Regional cooperation with East African countries can also enhance tax enforcement through shared tax information and harmonized tax policies [52]. Furthermore, Uganda should actively participate in international tax coordination, including platforms like the OECD’s Inclusive Framework, to ensure that its interests are represented globally and that it can benefit from international expertise in digital tax administration [41].

#### 5.2.5. Adopting Emerging Technologies to Modernize Tax Systems

To keep pace with technological advancements, Uganda can incorporate emerging technologies like blockchain and artificial intelligence (AI), as seen in Singapore’s use of blockchain for tax administration [69]. These technologies could provide real-time transaction verification, improving transparency and reducing fraud in the digital economy. Uganda could also implement automated tax reporting systems, inspired by Australia’s model, to streamline compliance and enhance tax enforcement [70]. A flexible tax system, similar to Finland’s approach, could be designed to accommodate emerging digital services, such as cryptocurrencies and online platforms, ensuring the tax system evolves alongside technological advancements while fostering innovation [71]. This modernization would help Uganda better manage the evolving digital economy and enhance its tax administration.

**Table 5:** Summary of theme 5.

Challenges	Opportunities
5.1.1. Disproportionate Burden on Small Businesses and the Informal Sector	5.2.1. Implementing Tiered Tax Structures for Small Businesses and the Informal Sector
5.1.2. Exclusion of Marginalized Groups	5.2.2. Integrating Marginalized Groups into the Financial and Tax System
5.1.3. Complexity and Diversity of Digital Transactions	5.2.3. Leveraging Data Analytics for Digital Transaction Taxation
5.1.4. Adapting Global Tax Models to Local Context and Jurisdictional Issues	5.2.4. Adapting Tax Models to the Local Context and Regional Cooperation
5.1.5. Slow Adaptation to Technological Advancements	5.2.5. Adopting Emerging Technologies to Modernize Tax Systems

## II. CONCLUSION

The growing presence of digital platforms in Uganda presents both significant challenges and valuable opportunities for the Uganda Revenue Authority (URA). While the digital economy, including mobile money, e-commerce, and cross-border transactions, offers potential revenue streams, the URA faces obstacles such as limited technological capacity, cybersecurity risks, infrastructure gaps, and resistance to digital transformation. These challenges hinder effective tax collection and compliance, particularly in the informal sectors and from foreign digital platforms.

However, Uganda can address these issues by investing in technological upgrades, capacity building for tax officials, and strengthening digital infrastructure, particularly in rural areas. Additionally, adopting agile tax regulations and fostering international cooperation on digital taxation could provide solutions to tracking cross-border transactions and digital services. By leveraging opportunities in education, infrastructure development, and technology integration, Uganda can better harness the digital economy’s potential, ensuring fairer tax collection and more efficient use of resources. Ultimately, by addressing these challenges and seizing available opportunities, Uganda can create a more robust, inclusive, and effective tax system in the face of a rapidly evolving digital landscape.

# REFERENCES

1. Kumar, S., Verma, A. K., & Mirza, A. (2024). Digital Transformation and Knowledge Economy. In *Digital Transformation, Artificial Intelligence and Society: Opportunities and Challenges* (pp. 59-72). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
2. 강윤서. (2023). *Analysis of Digital Trade Competitiveness among 28 OECD Countries (2010-2020) Using RCA Index and Diamond Model* (Doctoral dissertation, 서울대학교 대학원).
3. Anwar, M. A., & Graham, M. (2022). *The Digital Continent: placing Africa in planetary networks of work* (p. 288). Oxford University Press.
4. Nguimkeu, P., & Okou, C. (2021). Leveraging digital technologies to boost productivity in the informal sector in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Review of Policy Research*, 38(6), 707-731.
5. KAMOGA, J. (2024). Navigating the Digital Abyss: Examining Uganda's E-Tax System in Light of the Digital and Information Divide. Available at SSRN 4802021.
6. Rukundo, S. (2020). Addressing the challenges of taxation of the digital economy: lessons for African countries.
7. Wales, C. (2024). A Tax Strategy for a Digital Uganda.
8. Uña, G., Verma, A., Bazarbash, M., & Griffin, M. N. N. (2023). *Fintech payments in public financial management: benefits and risks*. International Monetary Fund.
9. Sweet, S. (2020). THE NOT-SO-HIDDEN ECONOMY OF UGANDA: HOW GEOSPATIAL ANALYTICS UNCOVERS TAX EVASION (Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University).
10. Onuoha, R., & Gillwald, A. (2022). Digital Taxation: can it contribute to more just resource mobilisation in post-pandemic reconstruction. *Africa Portal*.
11. Harta, L., Wolf, A., Kullas, M., & Vöpel, H. (2024). Digital Services: European Solutions for Fair Taxation of Multinational Digital Service Providers.
12. Mponwana, K., & Ndlovu, J. (2024). Digital Services Tax: Analytical View of Challenges and Successes in Kenya and the United Kingdom. *Intertax*, 52(4).
13. Moromoke, O., Aro, O., Adepetun, A., & Iwalehin, O. (2024). Navigating Regulatory Challenges In Digital Finance: A Strategic Approach.
14. Prasetyo, E. H. (2024). Digital platforms' strategies in Indonesia: Navigating between technology and informal economy. *Technology in Society*, 76, 102414.
15. Besigomwe, Kenneth. (2024). Administrative Law and the Regulation of Informal Sector Enterprises in Uganda. *Cognizance Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*. 4. 532-543. 10.47760/cognizance.2024.v04i12.049.
16. Katiyo, T. A. (2024). THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL TAXES ON THE RIGHTS TO FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN THE SUB-SAHARAN REGION WITH A SPECIAL EMPHASIS ON UGANDA.
17. Gurinovich, A. G., & Shakhmamatiev, A. A. (2024). Approaches to the Optimization of Fiscal Regulations in Cross-Border Transactions for the Provision of Electronic Services. *Revista Jurídicas CUC*, 20(1), 131-156.
18. Wei, S. (2024). Cross-border Electronic Commerce's Development Trend and Challenges in International Trade.
19. Ngcobo, K., Bhengu, S., Mudau, A., Thango, B., & Lerato, M. (2024). Enterprise data management: Types, sources, and real-time applications to enhance business performance-a systematic review. *Systematic Review* | September.
20. Mallett, R. (2024). *Crash helmet capitalism: Kampala's moto-taxis at the crossroads of digital inclusion* (Doctoral dissertation, London School of Economics and Political Science).
21. Mbhele, S. N. (2022). *An international comparison of digital service tax* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Johannesburg).
22. Nembe, J. K., & Idemudia, C. (2024). Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22(3), 1171-1183.
23. Muhammad, K., Salawu, R. O., & Ndagire, S. (2024). Sustainability Of Tax Reforms In Developing Countries: The Ugandan Case. *Islamic University Journal of Social Sciences*, 3(3), 276-295.
24. Christopher, T., & Turyasingura, B. Adoption of Digital Revolution in Government Ministries, Departments, and Agencies (MDAs) In Uganda; Reflection on Uganda Revenue Authority Digital Strategy Integration Approach towards Enhanced Tax Revenue in Post Covid-19 Pandemic.
25. Okunogbe, O., & Tourek, G. (2024). How can lower-income countries collect more taxes? The role of technology, tax agents, and politics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 38(1), 81-106.
26. Junquera-Varela, R. F., Lucas-Mas, C. Ó., Krsul, I., Calderon Yksic, V. O., & Arce Rodriguez, P. (2022). Digital transformation of tax and customs administrations.
27. African Union Commission. (2024). Facilitation and trust as drivers of voluntary tax compliance in selected African tax administrations.

28. Aruleba, K., & Jere, N. (2022). Exploring digital transforming challenges in rural areas of South Africa through a systematic review of empirical studies. *Scientific African*, 16, e01190.
29. Acquah, A., Agyabeng, A. N., & Mensah, J. K. (2024). Digital Transformation and Implications for the Informal Sector of Ghana. In *Informal Economy and Sustainable Development Goals: Ideas, Interventions and Challenges* (pp. 29-51). Emerald Publishing Limited.
30. Webb, H. C. (2024). Transforming Financial Services: The Role of Mobile Payments in African FinTech Innovation. In *The Palgrave Handbook of FinTech in Africa and Middle East: Connecting the Dots of a Rapidly Emerging Ecosystem* (pp. 1-57). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
31. Mehjabeen, M., & Khan, S. N. (2024). Motivating factors affecting formalization of small informal enterprises: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Business Strategy & Development*, 7(3), e426.
32. Mponwana, K., & Ndlovu, J. (2024). Digital Services Tax: Analytical View of Challenges and Successes in Kenya and the United Kingdom. *Intertax*, 52(4).
33. Titus, A. (2024). Decision-Making in a Proposed African Union Tax Governance Structure. In *Redefining Global Governance: A Tax, Trade and Investment Perspective in the EU and beyond* (pp. 285-306). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
34. Xu, Y. (Ed.). (2023). *VAT in the Digital Era: Unilateral and Multilateral Options for Reform*. Oxford University Press.
35. Mpofo, F. Y. (2022). Taxation of the digital economy and direct digital service taxes: Opportunities, challenges, and implications for African countries. *Economies*, 10(9), 219.
36. Occhiali, G., Akol, D., & Kargbo, P. M. (2022). ICT and Tax Administration in Sub-Saharan Africa: Adopting ITAS in Uganda and Sierra Leone.
37. Lees, A., & Mascagni, G. (2024). Research within Tax Administration: from Monitoring Revenue to Influencing Policy.
38. Larikaman, M., Salehi, M., & Yaghubi, N. M. (2024). The impact of applying blockchain technology in the tax system: opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*.
39. Malkova, A. (2024). Mobile money, Entrepreneurship, and Informality.
40. SSENKUBUGE, U. (2024). THE IMPACT OF MOBILE CONNECTIVITY AND GOVERNANCE ON REGIONAL TRADE: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF UGANDA.
41. Avi-Yonah, R. (2023). International taxation, globalization, and the economic digital divide. *Journal of International Economic Law*, 26(1), 101-109.
42. Latif, L. (2023). Promoting Inclusive and Effective Tax Cooperation at the United Nations. Available at SSRN 4730575.
43. Lemma, A., Parra, M. M., & Naliaka, L. (2022). The AfCFTA: unlocking the potential of the digital economy in Africa.
44. Dashe, D. N., & Asada, D. (2023). Multi-Lateral Global and Unilateral Initiatives, Antidotes for Effective Regulation and Administration of the Taxation of Internet Transactions. *IJDLDR*, 12, 64.
45. Modiba, F. S., Musasa, G., & Matindike, S. (2024). Can the digital economy transform financial inclusion in rural communities? A gendered lens. *Journal of Infrastructure, Policy and Development*, 8(8), 3756.
46. Osabutey, E. L., & Jackson, T. (2024). Mobile money and financial inclusion in Africa: Emerging themes, challenges and policy implications. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 202, 123339.
47. Niesten, H. (2023). Are Digital and Traditional Financial Services Taxed the Same? A Comprehensive Assessment of Tax Policies in Nine African Countries.
48. Mader, P., Duwendack, M., & Macdonald, K. (2022). Fintech and tax in Sub-Saharan Africa: taxation versus financial inclusion. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 15(4), 488-507.
49. Makina, D., & Magwedere, M. R. (2023). REMITTANCES–DEVELOPMENT DEBATE IN. *Routledge Handbook of Contemporary African Migration*.
50. Kiondo, J. K. (2022). Determinants of digital service Tax Awareness Among digital corporations in Kenya.
51. Kabwe, R. K. (2024). *The Use of Blockchain for Collecting Value-Added Tax on Online Cross-Border Trade in Digital Goods* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria (South Africa)).
52. Habimana, P. (2023). Towards a Harmonised EAC Tax System: Current Status, Challenges and Way Forward. *Law & World*, 25, 8.
53. Schilling, L., & Seuring, S. (2023). Mobile financial service-enabled micro-businesses driving sustainable value creation in emerging markets. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 192, 122596.
54. Mpofo, F. Y. (2022). Industry 4.0 in financial services: Mobile money taxes, revenue mobilisation, financial inclusion, and the realisation of sustainable development goals (SDGs) in Africa. *Sustainability*, 14(14), 8667.
55. Okunogbe, O., & Santoro, F. (2023). Increasing tax collection in African countries: The role of information technology. *Journal of African Economies*, 32(Supplement 1), i57-i83.
56. Makulilo, A. B. (2024). DATA PRIVACY IN AFRICA: TAKING. *DATA PRIVACY LAW IN AFRICA*, 41.
57. Musenga, M. (2024). *Factors influencing the adoption of e-services by the informal sector: a case of ECIS under NAPSA* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Zambia).
58. Tambunan, T. T. H. (2024). Development of MSMEs in Indonesia and Uganda.”.
59. Jayasekara, D., & Hansson, F. (2024). The Digital Economy Takes Over. In *THE CITY REBOOTED: Networks, Connectivity and Place Identities in Singapore* (pp. 65-104).

60. Domingo, E., Arnold, S., & Apiko, P. (2023). Interoperability of digital payment systems: Lessons from the East African Community.
61. Isgut, A., & Pellegrino, A. (2024). Increasing domestic resource mobilization through the digitalization of tax administrations in Asia and the Pacific: progress, challenges, and opportunities.
62. Okunogbe, O., & Tourek, G. (2024). How can lower-income countries collect more taxes? The role of technology, tax agents, and politics. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 38(1), 81-106.
63. Mpofo, F. Y. (2024). Digital Transformation by Tax Authorities. In *Digital Transformation in South Africa: Perspectives from an Emerging Economy* (pp. 151-170). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
64. Salami, I. (2024). *Financial Technology Law and Regulation in Africa*. Taylor & Francis.
65. Domingo, E., & Teevan, C. (2022). Africa's journey towards an integrated digital payments landscape and how the EU can support it. *ECDPM brief*, 23.
66. Subramanian, M. (2022). The Role of International Collaboration in Digital Services and Tax Compliance in India. *Taxation in the Digital Economy*, 150-165.
67. Greenham, D. C., Ramlall, R., & Stainbank, L. J. (2024). Tax education and taxpayer enculturation: Initiatives for South Africa. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 38(1), 1-26.
68. Chemtai, F., Omillo, F. O., & Kimutai, G. K. (2024). Process Innovation and Financial Inclusion of Microfinance Customers in North Rift Region of Kenya. *Journal of Entrepreneurship and Business Innovation*, 11(1), p1-p1.
69. Goh, C., & Yong, K. O. (2024). STATE OF DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN THE ACCOUNTING SECTOR IN SINGAPORE The Singapore Accountancy Commission launched the Accountancy Industry Digital Plan with the mission to digitally transform the accountancy sector (Accountancy IDP, 2019). This plan focuses on three strate. *Digital Transformation in Accounting and Auditing: Navigating Technological Advances for the Future*, 185.
70. Bevacqua, J. (2023, September). Digital first-an Australian Taxpayers' Charter fit for the digitalisation revolution. In *Australian Tax Forum* (Vol. 38, No. 3, pp. 441-469). Sydney, NSW: Tax Institute.
71. Le, N., & Pham, A. (2024). Embracing Change: Unveiling Customer Perspectives on the Prospective Adoption of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) in Finland.
72. Waiswa, E. (2024). Exploring the challenges and opportunities of digital transformation in media organizations: a case of nation media group Uganda.