

Crafting Minds: A Constructivist Blueprint for Philippine Education Reform

John Mark Navarette Saldivar

La Salle University, Ozamiz City, Misamis Occidental, Philippines
johnmark.saldivar@lsu.edu.ph; <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1446-4112>

DOI: 10.47760/cognizance.2025.v05i01.020

Abstract: Constructivism has been a prominent pedagogical paradigm in Philippine education, especially in light of recent curriculum reforms and the changing educational environment. This method based on Piaget and Vygotsky theories, emphasizes learner-centered education and active, experiential learning which highlights the proactive involvement of learners in developing their understanding and knowledge through experiences and interactions with their environment. This paper discusses the potential of constructivism as a revolutionary educational paradigm in the Philippine education system. It aims to develop 21st-century skills like critical thinking, collaboration, and contextualized learning. The paper also discusses how constructivist approaches, such as project-based and inquiry-based learning, can address issues like memorization, outdated teaching methods, and disengagement. The paper advocates for constructivist techniques in redesigning Philippine education to prepare students for global issues while respecting local settings, demonstrating its potential for a more inclusive, engaging, and efficient educational system.

Keywords: Constructivism, 21st century skills, learner-centered education, Philippine education reform

I. Introduction

Constructivism redefines learning as a dance between mind and world, a weaving of concepts, a stitching together made by the learner's own hands, not passive receipt. It dismisses the delusion of education as an act of voyeurs, inviting students to traverse the boundless expanse of ideas, to mold their understanding from the fertilizing soil of experience. As architects create structures from raw materials, so too do learners create meaning from personal reflection and inquiry, building a scaffold of truth as they traverse the complex landscape of knowledge.

Constructivist ideas date back as far as theorists like Jean Piaget, whose (Constructivist Theory, 2004) focused on the construction of knowledge through active engagement with the world around us, and Lev Vygotsky, who stressed the importance of social interaction and cognitive development during the learning process (Yang et al., 2017; Pineda & Bonfiglio 1999). Constructivism gives us the matrix of educational theories which state once we experience, all learning occurs through medial process cocktail combined with reflection on this experience This theory regards learners as agents, involved in their educational experience, linking new knowledge to previous cognitive frameworks, communicating with the surrounding world, and collaborating with peers.

Constructivism has had a profound impact on modern education worldwide, manifesting in pedagogies that emphasize active learning, collaboration and a relevance of prior knowledge. This principle establishes that we all interpret and progress our awareness of the environment through experiences and reflective reflections of those experiences. Constructivism has great implications for how we think about methodology, moving us away from rigid, teacher-driven instruction to student-centered approaches that promote engagement and critical thought.

Collaboration and social interaction are key aspects of the learning process, and one of the underlying principles of constructivism. Studies show students that lose interest are less likely to be motivated as well, which is key to good learning outcomes, therefore creating collaborative learning environments allows to increase motivation and engagement. Mensah (2015) stresses that collaboration engages students by incorporating various sociocultural beliefs to enhance the knowledge construction process (Nair et al., 2023). Moreover, as constitute videos as an active learning set up, literate to better student engagement and learning achievement in constructivist learning environments (Eliza, 2024).

In the context of technology-enhanced learning, constructivism plays a pivotal role in shaping how educational content is delivered and experienced. Therefore, the application of Learning Management Systems (LMS) in higher educational institutions reflects a solid commitment towards constructivist principles as they encourage active engagement and interaction among students. Increasing their participation in learning management systems (LMS) correlates with higher student performance while also together offering activities by entering LMS themselves, enhancing the idea that constructing knowledge is an active process (Darko, 2021). In addition, game-based learning used in nursing instruction suggest that learners acting in ways that allow them to take ownership of their learning using constructivist frameworks can greatly enhance engagement (Pensar-Aguilar et al., 2023). This demonstrates how the traditional education principles of constructivism are being integrated within constructive technology-mediated learning environments that provide for widely diverse learner needs.

The Covid-19 pandemic has further intensified this shift towards constructivist practices in education as institutions were forced to displace teaching methods to online platforms. Consequently, during this time, educators were had to think more creatively to provide more stimulating virtual experiences to engage students more meaningfully using a constructivist approach to teaching and learning (Pacala, 2023). This evolution makes manifest how well-suited constructivism is to present-day education, and how well-equipped it is to meet not just the challenges of the current time but also a changing world.

Moreover, teacher training is an equally important aspect of successfully implementing constructivist approaches. According to Berestova et al (2022), for constructivist methodologies to be effectively applied in the classroom, teachers need to be well-prepared and trained for it. Khalid further elaborates on this, exploring the challenges and potentials towards integrating social constructivism for engineering education, emphasizing how it is imperative for educators to be well-prepared to create collaborative and interactive learning environments (Khalid, 2023). Focusing on training educators is part of a larger educational philosophy to create pedagogies that reflect the various tendencies of students.

This paper posits that constructivism, which highlights active, experiential, and student-centered learning creates indeed a transformative lens for curriculum in the Philippines. Constructivism responds to some of the education crises plaguing the nation from low engagement to outdated ways of teaching by fostering critical thinking, collaboration, and construction of knowledge through personal experiences. This paper argues that a shift towards constructivist practices may overhaul a stagnant, irrelevant, and elitist education system, better equipping Filipino learners to respond to the demands of the 21st century.

This paper delves into the theory of constructivism as thrust which posts the theory as a new driver of academic change for Philippine education considering the eminently active, hands-on and student-centered pedagogy that are principles that are rooted from the theory. It is a theory that helps students to better engage actively directed to real life experiences solving some of the complications the country ails in educations.

At a more theoretical level, this study addresses the background to constructivism by way of some of its philosophical heritage as well as authoritative theorists like such as Piaget and Vygotsky and engages in a narrative of some key notions associated with an active experiential learning process. It also tackles the general state of

Philippine education, its existing issues, and lastly examines prospect for constructivist reform in curriculum development. The paper presents a model for the integration of constructivist methods, by identifying specific methods for promoting active learning, critical thinking and peer collaboration, as well as cultural and curriculum/and instructional transformation challenges.

II. Exposition: Constructivism in Education

Constructivism in education is a pedagogical approach that emphasizes the active role of learners in constructing their own understanding and knowledge through experiences and interactions. This educational philosophy is grounded in several core principles that guide teaching and learning processes.

A key principle of constructivism is that learners construct new knowledge on top of their previous knowledge. This is one of the principles discussed in several studies, indicating that knowledge is not transferred from teacher to student but formed by the learner (Tanjung, 2023). For example, Singh (2016) highlights how constructivist approaches in dental hygiene education foster critical thinking and collaboration among students, enabling them to learn actively and experientially. Such statement is in congruence to the basic idea of constructivism that states learners are pushed to find meaning through experiences which is necessary for learning.

Emphasizing social interaction and collaboration in the learning process, Durnford et al (2021) note that knowledge is contextually and culturally constructed, suggesting that collaborative learning environments enhance the educational experience. This is further supported by Aeni (2023), who emphasizes the importance of social interactions in the learning process, as proposed by Jean Piaget's theories. The collaborative nature of constructivism not only fosters individual understanding but also promotes a shared learning experience among peers, which is crucial for deeper comprehension and retention of knowledge (Sugrah, 2020). Moreover, constructivism advocates for learner autonomy and self-directed learning. This principle is particularly relevant in foreign language education, where learner-centered approaches are essential for fostering independence and critical thinking skills (Wang, 2011). Wang highlights that constructivist methodologies in language teaching empower students to take charge of their learning, thus enhancing their engagement and motivation. This autonomy is crucial in developing lifelong learners who can adapt their knowledge to various contexts and challenges (Doychinova, 2023). In addition, constructivism is associated with the use of problem-based and inquiry-based learning strategies. These methods encourage students to engage with real-world problems and apply their knowledge in practical situations, thereby reinforcing their understanding (Jumaat et al., 2017). Xu emphasizes that constructivist teaching methods, such as problem-based learning, are effective in promoting critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students (Xu, 2018). This hands-on approach not only makes learning more relevant but also prepares students for future challenges in their respective fields.

Finally, the integration of technology in constructivist practices has become increasingly important in contemporary education. The use of e-learning platforms and digital tools facilitates collaborative learning and allows for personalized learning experiences that cater to individual student needs (Koochang et al., 2009). As noted by Koochang et al. (2009) onstructivist principles can effectively guide the design of e-learning environments, ensuring that learners remain engaged and actively involved in their educational journey.

Constructivism has significant implications for teaching and learning, fundamentally altering the roles of both educators and students. This approach emphasizes active engagement, collaboration, and the construction of knowledge through experiences, which can lead to enhanced learning outcomes. One of the primary implications of constructivism is the shift from traditional teaching methods to more interactive and student-centered approaches. In a constructivist classroom, the teacher's role transitions from being the "sage on the stage" to a "guide on the side," facilitating learning by encouraging students to explore, question, and engage with the material actively Vaishali &

Misra (2020). This shift fosters an environment where students take ownership of their learning, leading to increased motivation and engagement (Eliza, 2024). For instance, Eliza's (2024) research highlights that constructivism-based learning positively influences students' higher-order thinking abilities, as it promotes increased activity and interaction among learners.

Moreover, constructivism encourages collaborative learning, where students work together to solve problems and share knowledge. This collaborative approach is supported by the principles of social constructivism, which posits that learning is a social process (Zhang, 2023). Studies have shown that peer-to-peer interactions can significantly enhance student engagement and learning outcomes when designed within a constructivist framework. For example, Siddiqui et al. (2020) emphasize the importance of designing peer interactions that align with constructivist principles to maximize their effectiveness in promoting engagement.

Another critical implication of constructivism is the integration of technology in the learning process. The use of digital tools and platforms can facilitate constructivist learning by providing interactive and engaging environments for students (Sulindra, 2024). For instance, the incorporation of game-based learning has been shown to enhance student engagement in nursing education, aligning with constructivist principles that advocate for active learning ("Improving Student Engagement in Nursing Education through Game-Based Learning", 2023). Furthermore, the use of learning management systems (LMS) like Blackboard can support constructivist learning by enabling students to engage actively with course materials and collaborate with peers (Darko, 2021).

Additionally, constructivism promotes the development of critical thinking and problem-solving skills. By engaging students in real-world problems and inquiry-based learning, educators can help students apply their knowledge in practical contexts (Maspul, 2024). This approach not only enhances understanding but also prepares students for future challenges in their respective fields (Mwanda et al., 2016). For example, project-based learning, which is rooted in constructivist theory, has been shown to improve student engagement and learning outcomes in STEM education (Maspul, 2024).

Finally, the implications of constructivism extend to assessment practices. Traditional assessment methods may not adequately capture the depth of understanding that constructivist approaches aim to foster. Therefore, educators are encouraged to adopt formative assessments that provide ongoing feedback and support student learning (Kutto, 2024). This aligns with the constructivist view that assessment should be integrated into the learning process, allowing students to reflect on their understanding and progress ("Promoting Academic Honesty in Public Universities: A Constructivist Approach", 2023).

III. Curriculum Development in the Philippines

The current state of the curriculum in the Philippines is characterized by significant reforms and challenges, particularly following the implementation of the K to 12 educational system. This system, which was officially enacted through Republic Act 10533 in 2013, aims to enhance the quality of education and better prepare students for higher education and the workforce. However, various studies indicate that while the K to 12 curriculum has potential benefits, it also faces numerous issues that hinder its effectiveness.

The K to 12 curriculum aims to promote competency-based education, which focuses on developing real-world skills among students. This approach aims to equip learners with essential skills such as problem-solving, communication, and collaboration Mamolo & Sugano (2020). However, despite these intentions, there are concerns regarding the actual implementation of the curriculum. Research indicates that the Department of Education (DepEd) has been slow to review and address the challenges associated with the K to 12 curriculum, which has resulted in a significant gap between the intended and actual educational outcomes (Abendaño, 2023). For instance, a study by Malaluan et al. (2022) emphasizes the need for educational programs that effectively bridge scientific

reasoning and behavior, highlighting the importance of practical application in learning. Moreover, the integration of technology in education has become increasingly important, especially in light of the COVID-19 pandemic. The DepEd has begun to provide technological resources such as computers and tablets to enhance distance learning and align with the trends of Education 4.0 (Lapada et al., 2020). However, the effectiveness of these initiatives remains to be fully realized, as many schools still struggle with inadequate infrastructure and training for teachers. The transition to online and blended learning environments has revealed disparities in access to technology, which can exacerbate existing inequalities in the education system.

Another critical aspect of the Philippine curriculum is its emphasis on contextualization and localization. The K to 12 curriculum framework encourages educators to adapt content to reflect local cultures and histories, thereby making learning more relevant to students (Pariscal & Gonzales-Aboy, 2022). This approach aims to deepen students' understanding of their own communities and foster a sense of national identity ("Your Story, Our History: The How's and what's of Teacher and Students in Local History Education", 2023). Conversely, the extent to which teachers effectively implement this contextualization varies widely, and there is a need for ongoing support and professional development to ensure that educators can meet these expectations (Pariscal & Gonzales-Aboy, 2022). The curriculum also faces scrutiny regarding its alignment with international standards and the competencies required for the 21st-century workforce. Studies have shown that while the K to 12 curriculum is designed to produce holistically developed graduates, there are still gaps in the competencies being taught, particularly in critical subjects such as mathematics and science (Dicdiquin et al., 2023). The spiral progression model adopted in the curriculum has been criticized for failing to adequately address the cognitive development of students, leading to unrealistic expectations for learners (Dio, 2020).

Meanwhile, the current curriculum in the Philippines, particularly under the K to 12 educational system, still exhibits elements of rote learning, despite efforts to shift towards more progressive and student-centered pedagogies. Rote learning, characterized by memorization and repetition without deep understanding, has been a longstanding issue in various educational systems, including the Philippines.

One significant factor contributing to the persistence of rote learning is the structure and demands of the curriculum itself. The K to 12 curriculum aims to promote critical thinking and competencies among students; however, the sheer volume of content that students are required to learn often leads to a focus on memorization. The breadth of the curriculum can overwhelm learners, resulting in a reliance on rote memorization to prepare for examinations Milligan (2017). This phenomenon is echoed in the findings of other studies, which highlight that the pressure to cover extensive syllabi often forces both teachers and students to resort to rote learning as a coping mechanism (Sundby & Karseth, 2021).

Additionally, the examination system in the Philippines has been criticized for reinforcing rote learning practices. High-stakes testing, which emphasizes content recall over understanding, encourages students to memorize information rather than engage with it critically (Yerdelen-Damar & Elby, 2016). This aligns with observations made in other contexts, where examination systems have been shown to reduce curricula to frameworks that prioritize rote learning (Amin & Greenwood, 2018). Consequently, students may find themselves focusing on passing exams rather than developing a deeper understanding of the subject matter.

Furthermore, cultural factors play a role in the persistence of rote learning in the Philippine educational context. The traditional view of education as a transmission of knowledge from teacher to student can perpetuate rote learning practices, as teachers may rely on lecture-based instruction and standardized assessments (Tan, 2010). This is compounded by the fact that many educators may not have received adequate training in implementing constructivist or learner-centered approaches, leading to a continuation of established practices that favor memorization (Abendaño, 2023).

Nonetheless, there are ongoing efforts to address these challenges and reduce the reliance on rote learning. The K to 12 curriculum includes provisions for contextualization and localization, which aim to make learning more relevant and engaging for students ("Your Story, Our History: The How's and what's of Teacher and Students in Local History Education", 2023). By encouraging teachers to adapt content to local contexts, the curriculum seeks to foster critical thinking and application of knowledge rather than mere memorization. Additionally, initiatives such as project-based learning and inquiry-based approaches are being promoted to enhance student engagement and understanding (Dacumos, 2023).

IV. Argument: Constructivism as a Solution

Constructivism is particularly suited for the Philippine education system due to its emphasis on active learning, critical thinking, and contextualized education, which align well with the needs of Filipino students in a rapidly changing global landscape. The K to 12 curriculum, implemented in the Philippines, aims to enhance educational quality and relevance, making constructivist approaches an effective pedagogical framework to achieve these goals. Constructivist teaching encourages students to actively participate in their learning process, fostering autonomy and engagement (Melesse & Jirata, 2016). This is crucial in the Philippine context, where traditional rote learning methods have often dominated the educational landscape. By shifting the focus from memorization to understanding, constructivism promotes deeper learning and critical thinking skills, which are essential for students to navigate complex real-world problems (Qarareh, 2016).

Also, constructivism supports the contextualization of learning, which is particularly relevant in the diverse cultural landscape of the Philippines. The K to 12 curriculum encourages educators to adapt lessons to reflect local contexts and experiences, making learning more relevant and meaningful for students (raza, 2023). This approach not only enhances student engagement but also fosters a sense of identity and belonging, as students see their own cultures and experiences reflected in their education (Aydisheh & Gharibi, 2015). Studies have shown that when students can relate learning to their own lives, they are more likely to retain information and apply it effectively (Newton et al., 2013).

Additionally, constructivist methods align well with the collaborative nature of Filipino society. The emphasis on group work and peer interaction in constructivist classrooms mirrors the communal values prevalent in Filipino culture (Fernando & Marikar, 2017). This collaborative learning environment not only enhances social skills but also prepares students for teamwork in future workplaces, which is increasingly important in today's interconnected world. Research indicates that students who engage in collaborative learning are more likely to develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills, which are vital for success in various fields (Toklucu & Tay, 2016).

The EDCOM 2 Report, which aims to reform the Philippine educational system, aligns closely with constructivist principles that emphasize active learning and student engagement. Constructivism posits that learners construct their own understanding and knowledge of the world through experiences and reflecting on those experiences (Misra, 2020). This educational philosophy is particularly relevant in the context of the EDCOM 2 Report, which seeks to address the need for a more effective and relevant curriculum that fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills among students. The role of teachers in facilitating a constructivist learning environment is also critical. Teachers are encouraged to adopt strategies that promote active engagement and collaboration among students, which are fundamental tenets of constructivism (Veyis, 2020). Furthermore, the constructivist approach supports the notion of personalized learning, where education is tailored to meet the diverse needs of students. This is particularly relevant in the Philippine context, where educational disparities exist.

In the context of technology use in education, constructivism encourages the use of digital tools and resources to facilitate active learning and collaboration among students (Loseñara, 2021). As the Philippine education system

continues to adapt to new technologies, constructivist principles can guide the effective implementation of these tools, ensuring that they enhance rather than detract from the learning experience. Definitely, the professional development of teachers is crucial for the successful implementation of constructivist approaches in the classroom. Training programs that focus on constructivist teaching methods can equip educators with the necessary skills to facilitate active learning and critical thinking among students (Kablan & Kaya, 2014). Research has shown that when teachers understand and embrace constructivist principles, they are more likely to implement them effectively in their classrooms, leading to improved student outcomes (Melesse & Jirata, 2016).

Constructivism offers a robust framework for addressing pressing educational issues such as low literacy and numeracy rates, as well as underperformance in international assessments like the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA). By emphasizing active learning, critical thinking, and contextualized education, constructivist approaches can significantly enhance students' foundational skills in literacy and numeracy.

Focusing on active engagement in the learning process, this approach encourages students to construct their own understanding through hands-on experiences and problem-solving activities, which can lead to improved literacy and numeracy skills. For instance, Hidayanthi (2024) discusses the implementation of STEAM-based digital learning, which has been shown to enhance students' numeracy literacy by helping them recognize symbols and numbers, analyze information, and solve problems. Such active learning strategies are essential for developing the critical skills necessary for success in both academic and real-world contexts.

Also, constructivism promotes the integration of literacy and numeracy across the curriculum, rather than treating them as isolated subjects. This holistic approach can help students see the relevance of these skills in various contexts, thereby increasing their motivation to learn. For example, research by Susongko indicates that literacy and numeracy skills are significantly correlated, suggesting that improvements in one area can positively impact the other (Susongko, 2021). By fostering connections between literacy and numeracy, educators can create a more cohesive learning experience that enhances overall student performance.

In the context of PISA performance, constructivism encourages the development of higher-order thinking skills, which are critical for success in standardized assessments. The PISA framework emphasizes the importance of problem-solving and critical thinking, skills that are central to constructivist pedagogy. For instance, the use of project-based learning and inquiry-based approaches allows students to engage with real-world problems, thereby developing the analytical skills necessary for PISA assessments (Maryati et al., 2022). This aligns with findings that suggest students who engage in constructivist learning environments perform better on assessments that require critical thinking and application of knowledge (Pratiwi, 2024).

Furthermore, constructivism recognizes the importance of the learning environment and the role of teachers in facilitating student learning. Effective teacher training in constructivist methods can empower educators to create engaging and supportive classrooms that foster literacy and numeracy development. Research indicates that professional development focused on constructivist strategies can enhance teachers' ability to implement effective literacy and numeracy instruction (Kais et al., 2022). This is crucial in addressing the challenges posed by low literacy and numeracy rates, as well-trained teachers are better equipped to meet the diverse needs of their students. Group work and peer learning can enhance students' understanding of literacy and numeracy concepts, as they learn from one another and engage in discussions that deepen their comprehension. This collaborative approach is particularly beneficial in contexts where community and familial ties play a significant role in education. By fostering a collaborative learning environment, constructivism can help students develop the skills necessary to succeed not only in school but also in their future careers.

Needless to say, constructivism is particularly well-suited to cater to diverse learners in the Philippine education system and to foster Filipino values. This educational approach emphasizes active engagement, collaboration, and

contextual learning, which can effectively address the varied needs of students while promoting cultural values intrinsic to Filipino society. In fact, one of the primary strengths of constructivism is its focus on learner-centered education, which recognizes that students come from diverse backgrounds and possess different learning styles. This approach allows educators to tailor their teaching strategies to meet the individual needs of each student, thereby enhancing engagement and understanding. For instance, constructivist methods encourage teachers to create inclusive learning environments where students can share their unique perspectives and experiences, fostering a sense of belonging and community. This is particularly relevant in the Philippines, where cultural diversity is a hallmark of society.

V. Criticisms and Opportunities of Constructivism in Philippine Education System

Constructivism faces several criticisms, particularly in the context of the Philippine education system, amidst the many benefits it serves in the educational landscape. These criticisms can be categorized into issues related to implementation challenges, teacher preparedness, cultural relevance, and the potential for superficial learning.

The challenge of effective implementation within the Philippine educational context poses the primary criticism of constructivism. Many educators may lack the necessary training and resources to fully embrace constructivist methodologies. For instance, Wyatt (2023) notes that in some contexts, the conditions required for successful facilitation of constructivist learning may not be present, making its introduction difficult. This is particularly relevant in the Philippines, where teachers often face large class sizes, limited resources, and a curriculum that may not fully support constructivist practices. As a result, the intended benefits of constructivism may not be realized in practice.

Another concern is the preparedness of teachers to adopt constructivist approaches effectively. Research indicates that many teachers in the Philippines may not have received adequate training in constructivist pedagogy, leading to a reliance on traditional teaching methods (Hatmanto, 2023). This lack of preparedness can hinder the successful implementation of constructivist strategies, resulting in a disconnect between educational theory and classroom practice.

To add, there is a perception that constructivism may be overly theoretical and not grounded in practical application, which can lead to skepticism among educators (Carifio & Perla, 2010). Cultural relevance is also a critical issue when considering the application of constructivism in the Philippine context. Constructivist approaches often emphasize individual learning experiences and self-directed inquiry, which may not align with the collectivist values prevalent in Filipino culture. Critics argue that such an emphasis on individualism can undermine the communal aspects of learning that are important in Filipino society (McPhail, 2015). This cultural mismatch can lead to resistance from both teachers and students, who may be more accustomed to traditional, teacher-centered approaches.

Furthermore, there is a concern that constructivism may lead to superficial learning if not implemented thoughtfully. While constructivism encourages exploration and discovery, it can sometimes result in students engaging in activities that lack depth or rigor. For example, McPhail (2015) highlights that without a strong epistemological foundation, constructivist practices can become fashionable but ultimately ineffective. In the Philippine context, where educational outcomes are often assessed through standardized testing, there is a risk that constructivist approaches may not adequately prepare students for the types of assessments they will face. Finally, the pressure to perform well in international assessments, such as PISA, can create tension between constructivist methodologies and the need for measurable academic outcomes. As noted by Baticulon et al., (2021) the focus on developing self-regulated learning strategies is essential for academic success, but it may not always align with the constructivist

emphasis on collaborative and experiential learning. This can lead to a situation where educators feel compelled to revert to traditional teaching methods to ensure students achieve the desired test scores.

Overcoming the challenges of implementing constructivism in the Philippine education system requires a multifaceted approach that addresses various barriers, including teacher preparedness, resource availability, cultural relevance, and assessment practices. Here are several strategies that can be employed to enhance the effectiveness of constructivist methodologies in this context:

1. Professional Development for Teachers. Providing all-inclusive professional development programs for teachers is one of the essential strategies. The training elements should include constructivist pedagogies, like ways to facilitate active learning, stimulate critical thinking and develop collaborative learning. However, the continuous professional development acts as an alternative for colleagues to acquire skills and strategies for successful implementations of teaching methods. Teachers can be confident in using constructivist approaches when they can have access to workshops, seminars, and peer mentoring.

2. Resource Allocation and Support. To implement constructivism positively, addressing the resources is imperative. Schools should be outfitted with enough instruments, tech toolbox, and infrastructure to lighten interactive and experiential learning. This helps with student access to digital tools that can foster collaborative projects and inquiry-based learning. A major aspect of successful implementation is overcoming the resistance to change and ensuring the right amount of support is put behind technology making its way into the classroom. Schools are able to request partnerships with local governments and organizations in order to obtain funding and resources.

3. Curriculum Alignment. The curriculum should be aligned with constructivist principles, emphasizing contextualization and localization of content. That includes weaving local culture, history and community issues into the curriculum, making studying more applicable to students' lives. In turn, this helps create a more visceral and integral connection for the students to the material, which is what constructivist learning is all about. In this context, ephemeral teacher humor, creative teaching strategies, that offer students low-risk time, and engaging students in meaningful ways is important.

4. Assessment Reform. These traditional assessment methods commonly challenge constructivist principles, resulting in surface learning. This can be possible if schools switched to formative assessment as a practice that emphasize the students grasp and application of knowledge rather than the need for memorization. That includes portfolios, project-based assessments and peer evaluations to measure student learning. To align with constructivist learning outcomes, assessment strategies must be tailored in this manner to help students gain a better understanding of the content they are learning.

5. Fostering a Collaborative Learning Environment. Constructivist learning is heavily dependent on developing a classroom culture that fosters collaboration between students. Teachers can simply implement these skills into lessons through group projects, peer writing, cooperative only activities, etc. Such manner of learning not only achieves better results but also showcases the communal values seen among Filipinos. Educators can allow students to build from each other through collaboration, which promotes social benefits among students.

6. Engaging Parents and the Community. Collaborative learning opportunities can also involve parents, as well as members of the community, to make constructivist approaches more effective. Parents workshop should conduct in schools to talk about the constructivism and its benefits. Involving the community with school initiatives, including project-based learning projects, allows students to gain real-life contexts for their learning (Martin, 2013). This approach to community involvement may serve as a reinforcement for what is being taught inside the classroom.

7. Utilizing Technology. Technology in the classroom helps to promote constructivist learning through the use of greater access to resources and collaborative opportunities for students. Instructors are able to assign collaborative

online projects, virtual simulations, and interactive learning formats. The rising technology in education needs teachers trained to effectively use the same in a constructivist manner.

Conclusion

Constructivism embodies an alternative, liberational educational paradigm that can be applied to curriculum development in the Philippine setting. Constructivism emphasizes active, experiential and student-based learning as it facilitates critical thinking, collaboration and the construction of knowledge on the basis of lived experiences — which provide significant solutions to many education-related problem in the country such as poor student engagement and outdated teaching approaches. The paper ventured back into its historical and theoretical underpinnings citing the contributions of Piaget and Vygotsky to examine its applicability towards the diverse educational milieu of Philippine learners.

Among the significant takeaways in this reflective inquiry was teacher readiness and professional development, which are essentials to successfully implementing constructivist pedagogy. Moreover, it argues that a constructivist approach also suits Filipino values of collaboration and communal learning fostering inclusivity and participatory methods. Even though there are challenges such as limited resources and cultural class, constructivism can be adjusted to meet the demands of 21st learning, preparing students to navigate the increasingly complex and dynamic world.

Recommendations

The findings have important implications for policy, curriculum reforms, and research in the integration of constructivism into Philippine education which necessitates a holistic approach in addressing issues spanning different educational sectors. For policy reform, there is a need to institute better teacher training in constructivist methods. Capacitating teachers to train them in scaffolding off students' and design a more learner-centered learning environment. Assessment reforms also need to move from the current 'one-size-fits-all' high stake exam-based system to a more reliant formative assessments that test critical thinking and problem solving. Policy reform should further promote the application of technology in classrooms to support constructivist learning; making sure it is available especially for schools located in under-resourced areas.

In terms of the curriculum reforms, while the application of constructivist principles to frameworks shift emphasis towards inquiry-based learning and projects, revisioning the approach substantially is rebuilt. These changes will promote students to interact and apply knowledge in an honest way. Curriculum development will be further strengthened if the content can be localized and contextualized to help make meaningful connections to students' home cultures, communities, and experience. The curriculum should also be encouraged to take a cross-disciplinary approach and not restrict itself within the silos of one discipline so that students learn how subjects are interconnected and think critically across domains.

For research, further studies may be conducted on the effectiveness of constructivism in various levels of Philippine educational settings specific to student engagement, academic achievement and critical thinking respectively. This might also extend to research on implementation challenges and promising practices in rural and other under-resourced schools, as a means of ensuring equitable access for students who are disproportionately represented. Finally, to provide evidence for the continuation and enhancement of constructivist practices in Philippine education, studies on the use of technology in creating constructivist learning environments designed to enhance collaboration, engagement, and active learning among students in the Philippine educational context must also be considered as important research foci.

References

1. Abendaño, M. (2023). Multivariate analysis on curriculum viability, teaching competence, and effective instruction: davao region teachers in focus. *International Journal of Research Publications*, 127(1). <https://doi.org/10.47119/ijrp1001271620235093>
2. Amin, A. and Greenwood, J. (2018). The examination system in bangladesh and its impact: on curriculum, students, teachers and society. *Language Testing in Asia*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-018-0060-9>
3. Aydisheh, F. and Gharibi, H. (2015). Effectiveness of constructivist teaching method on students' mathematic academic achievement. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n6s2p572>
4. Berestova, A., Anisimova, T., Morugina, O., Lobuteva, L., & Lobuteva, A. (2022). Constructivist pedagogy in e-learning: solving problems of interaction with a student. *World Journal on Educational Technology Current Issues*, 14(5), 1343-1356. <https://doi.org/10.18844/wjet.v14i5.7860>
5. Baticulon, R., Sy, J., Alberto, N., Baron, M., Mabulay, R., Rizada, L., ... & Reyes, J. (2021). Barriers to online learning in the time of covid-19: a national survey of medical students in the philippines. *Medical Science Educator*, 31(2), 615-626. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-021-01231-z>
6. Carifio, J. and Perla, R. (2010). Towards the decline and fall of radical and educational constructivism (mark i). *Current Research in Psychology*, 1(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3844/crpsp.2010.1.15>
7. Dacumos, L. (2023). Reflections beyond implementation: evaluation of the project-based learning in the research curriculum of the philippine science high school - luzon campuses. *International Journal on Research in Stem Education*, 5(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.33830/ijrse.v5i1.1582>
8. Darko, C. (2021). An evaluation of how students use blackboard and the possible link to their grades. *Sage Open*, 11(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211067245>
9. Dicdiquin, J., Mobo, F., & Cutillas, A. (2023). Evaluating the effectiveness of professional development programs for junior high school mathematics teachers in improving mathematics instruction in the k to 12 curriculum in the philippines. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Applied Business and Education Research*, 4(4), 1143-1153. <https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.04.04.12>
10. Dio, R. (2020). Exploring vertical coherence of content topics in philippine spiral kto10 mathematics curriculum. *International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(11), 259-282. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.19.11.15>
11. Eliza, F. (2024). Effective virtual laboratory to build constructivist thinking in electrical measurement practicum. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 34(2), 814. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v34.i2.pp814-824>
12. Fernando, S. and Marikar, F. (2017). Constructivist teaching/learning theory and participatory teaching methods. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 6(1), 110. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v6n1p110>
13. Hatmanto, E. (2023). Crafting dynamic lesson plans: designing differentiated learning approaches for teaching reading skills in the philippines. *iccs*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.18196/iccs.v1i2.124>
14. Hidayanthi, R. (2024). Implementation of steam-based digital learning for students' numeracy literacy in elementary schools. *Research and Development in Education (Raden)*, 4(1), 653-661. <https://doi.org/10.22219/raden.v4i1.32663>
15. Kablan, Z. and Kaya, S. (2014). Preservice teachers' constructivist teaching scores based on their learning styles. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 39(39). <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2014v39n12.5>
16. Kais, M., Maufur, M., & Nasucha, M. (2022). The effect of professional competence and pedagogic competence of teachers on numeracy literacy. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.28-5-2022.2320424>
17. Khalid, A. (2023). Breaking barriers in higher education: implementation of cost-effective social constructivism in engineering education. *International Journal of Mechanical Engineering Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03064190231218123>
18. Kutto, N. (2024). The effects of evaluation in distance learning: an insight review. *AfriTVET*, 9(1), 118-130. <https://doi.org/10.69641/afritvet.2024.9.1186>
19. Loseñara, J. and P., L. (2021). Constructivism in the science classroom: assessing students' perception of constructivism. *International Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 3(3), 161-169. <https://doi.org/10.54476/imrj246>
20. Malaluan, L., Espinosa, A., & Duad, V. (2022). Manifestations of environmental principles in bridging scientific context, reasoning and behaviour: framework in the development of environmental education programmes in the philippines. *Australian Journal of Environmental Education*, 39(2), 199-212. <https://doi.org/10.1017/aee.2022.49>
21. Mamolo, L. and Sugano, S. (2020). Self-perceived and actual competencies of senior high school students in general mathematics. *Cogent Education*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2020.1779505>

22. Maryati, R., Permanasari, A., & Ardianto, D. (2022). Fluid learning with arduino-based on engineering design process (edp) to improve student's problem solving ability. *Scientiae Educatia*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.24235/sc.educatia.v11i2.11760>
23. Maspul, K. (2024). Enhancing project-based learning in stem education with integrated technology and coding. *JISIT*, 1(1), 16-24. <https://doi.org/10.61971/jisit.v1i1.20>
24. McPhail, G. (2015). The fault lines of recontextualisation: the limits of constructivism in education. *British Educational Research Journal*, 42(2), 294-313. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3199>
25. Melesse, S. and Jirata, E. (2016). Teachers' perception and practice of constructivist teaching approach: the case of secondary schools of kamashi zone. *Science Technology and Arts Research Journal*, 4(4), 194. <https://doi.org/10.4314/star.v4i4.27>
26. Mensah, E. (2015). Exploring constructivist perspectives in the college classroom. *Sage Open*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244015596208>
27. Milligan, L. (2017). Education quality and the kenyan 8-4-4 curriculum: secondary school learners' experiences. *Research in Comparative and International Education*, 12(2), 198-212. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745499917711550>
28. Misra, P. (2020). Implications of constructivist approaches in the classrooms: the role of the teachers. *Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies*, 17-25. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajess/2020/v7i430205>
29. Mwanda, G., Odundo, P., Midigo, R., & Mwanda, O. (2016). Adoption of the constructivist learning approach in secondary schools in kenya: focus on learner achievement in biology by class category. *Us-China Education Review A*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.17265/2161-623x/2016.01.003>
30. Nair, B., Otaki, F., Nair, A., & Ho, S. (2023). Medical students' perception of resilience and of an innovative curriculum-based resilience skills building course: a participant-focused qualitative analysis. *Plos One*, 18(3), e0280417. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0280417>
31. Newton, S., Harris, M., & Pittiglio, L. (2013). Constructivist philosophy and nursing student medication calculations. *Research and Theory for Nursing Practice*, 27(2), 88-94. <https://doi.org/10.1891/1541-6577.27.2.88>
32. Pacala, F. (2023). Did covid-19 reshape constructivism approach? a synthesis paper during the world-wide shift to full distance learning. *Ho Chi Minh City Open University Journal of Science - Social Sciences*, 13(1), 36-45. <https://doi.org/10.46223/hcmcoujs.soci.en.13.1.2682.2023>
33. Panesar-Aguilar, S., Trnka, M., Cale, C., McCraney, M. (2023). Improving student engagement in nursing education through game-based learning. *RHSS*. <https://doi.org/10.7176/rhss/13-12-04>
34. Pariscal, D. and Gonzales-Aboy, I. (2022). Practices in the contextualization of the english curriculum in the public secondary schools. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 37, 60-75. <https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v37i1.7594>
35. Pratiwi, S. (2024). Study on literacy numeracy towards students' logic mathematics: a literature review. *Numeracy Journal*, 11(1), 58-69. <https://doi.org/10.46244/numeracy.v11i1.2601>
36. Siddiqui, N., Miah, M., Hasnain, A., & Greenfield, D. (2020). Can peer to peer interaction (ppi) be a global theme to promote engagement in students of diverse characteristics and country contexts. *International Journal of Learning Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(8), 117-136. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.19.8.7>
37. Qarareh, A. (2016). The effect of using the constructivist learning model in teaching science on the achievement and scientific thinking of 8th grade students. *International Education Studies*, 9(7), 178. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v9n7p178>
38. Raza, T. (2023). A comparative study of constructivist and traditional methods of teaching science to grade v, primary level public school of district korangi, karachi. *Voyage Journal of Educational Studies*, 3(2), 326-346. <https://doi.org/10.58622/vjes.v3i2.60>
39. Sulindra, E. (2024). Utilization of instructional technology in english language teaching (elt) based on constructivism: a literature review. *English Education and Literature Journal (E-Jou)*, 4(02), 141-153. <https://doi.org/10.53863/ejou.v4i02.1150>
40. Sundby, A. and Karseth, B. (2021). 'the knowledge question' in the norwegian curriculum. *The Curriculum Journal*, 33(3), 427-442. <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.139>
41. Susongko, P. (2021). Assessment of literacy and numeracy of indonesian students using the integrated science-based science literacy test. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.18-11-2020.2311684>
42. Tan, P. (2010). Towards a culturally sensitive and deeper understanding of "rote learning" and memorisation of adult learners. *Journal of Studies in International Education*, 15(2), 124-145. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1028315309357940>
43. Toklucu, S. and Tay, B. (2016). The effect of cooperative learning method and systematic teaching on students' achievement and retention of knowledge in social studies lesson. *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, 16(66), 1-35. <https://doi.org/10.14689/ejer.2016.66.18>



-
44. Veyis, F. (2020). Investigation of the self-efficacy beliefs of turkish language and literature teachers in practicing constructivist approach in terms of various variables. *International Education Studies*, 13(7), 47. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v13n7p47>
 45. Wyatt, M. (2023). Constructivism on an award-bearing in-service english language teacher education programme in oman. *Tesol Journal*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.727>
 46. Yerdelen-Damar, S. and Elby, A. (2016). Sophisticated epistemologies of physics versus high-stakes tests: how do elite high school students respond to competing influences about how to learn physics?. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevphyseduces.12.010118>
 47. Zhang, Z. (2023). Collaborative learning in social constructivism: promoting english learning in a secondary classroom in china. *Journal of Education and Educational Research*, 3(3), 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.54097/jeer.v3i3.9509>